# Weather Support for the 2002 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games

J. Horel<sup>1</sup>, T. Potter<sup>1</sup>, L. Dunn<sup>2</sup>, W. J. Steenburgh<sup>1</sup>, M. Eubank<sup>3</sup>, M. Splitt<sup>1</sup>

Submitted to Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society

Proposal submission date: 7 August, 2001 Article submission date: 27 August 2001

> Corresponding author: John D. Horel University of Utah Department of Meteorology 145 South 1460 East Room 819 Salt Lake City. UT 84112-0110 (801) 581-7091

e-mail: jhorel@met.utah.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NOAA Cooperative Institute for Regional Prediction, University of Utah

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Salt Lake City Weather Forecast Office

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> KSL (NBC affiliate), Salt Lake City

# Abstract

The 2002 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games will be hosted by Salt Lake City, Utah, during February-March 2002. Adverse weather during this period may delay sporting events while snow and ice-covered surface streets and highways may impede access by the athletes and spectators to the venues. While winter snowstorms and other large-scale weather systems typically have widespread impacts throughout northern Utah, hazardous winter weather is often related to local terrain features (the Wasatch Mountains and Great Salt Lake are the most prominent ones). Examples of such hazardous weather include: lake-effect snowstorms, ice fog, gap winds, downslope windstorms, and low visibility over mountain passes.

A weather support system has been developed to provide weather information to the athletes, Games officials, spectators, and the interested public around the world. This system is managed by the Salt Lake Olympic Committee and relies upon meteorologists from the public, private, and academic sectors of the atmospheric science community. Weather forecasting duties will be led by National Weather Service forecasters and a team of private weather forecasters organized by KSL, the Salt Lake City NBC television affiliate. Other government agencies, commercial firms, and the University of Utah are providing specialized forecasts and support services for the Olympics. The weather support system developed for the 2002 Winter Olympics is expected to provide longterm benefits to the public through improved understanding, monitoring, and prediction of winter weather in the Intermountain West.

# **1. Introduction**

The 2002 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games will be held in the vicinity of Salt Lake City (SLC), Utah, during February-March 2002. Approximately 3,500 athletes will compete in 15 sports that will take place at 5 outdoor and 5 indoor venues. A weather support system has been developed to provide weather information for the Games. This system is managed by the weather support group in the sports department of the Salt Lake Olympic Committee (SLOC) and involves meteorologists from government agencies, private firms, and the University of Utah. Weather forecast operations begin several days prior to Opening Ceremonies on 8 February through Closing Ceremonies on 24 February and continue for the Paralympics from 7-16 March.

Planning for the weather support system began in 1995, shortly after selection of SLC by the International Olympic Committee as the site for the 2002 Games. Early development of this weather support system was done by individuals at the University of Utah and the National Weather Service (NWS) SLC Weather Forecast Office (WFO). Further development of the system has involved many people at SLOC, WFO, NWS Western Region Scientific Services Division, the NOAA Cooperative Institute for Regional Prediction (CIRP) in the Department of Meteorology at the University of Utah, and a private sector forecasting group associated with KSL, the local NBC television affiliate.

Indoor and outdoor venues for the 2002 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games span a broad area of northern Utah (Fig. 1). Indoor venues are concentrated in the Salt Lake Valley, but one venue is located in Ogden to the north and another is located in Provo to the south. This metropolitan corridor, covering a north-south distance of 110 km to the west of the Wasatch Mountains, is referred to as the Wasatch Front. The 5 outdoor venues lie on the eastern flanks of the Wasatch Mountains. A large number of spectators will travel from accommodations located up to 100 km away from the venues. For example, on the first day of competition (February 9), many spectators will travel across the Wasatch Mountains on Interstate 80 to watch mogul skiing at Deer Valley (16,000 people), ski jumping at the Utah Olympic Park (22,000), and cross-country skiing at Soldier Hollow (20,000) (Loomis 2001).

Significant weather events have affected all past winter Olympics. For example, weatherrelated delays for alpine ski events occurred during the 1984 Sarajevo, 1992 Albertville, and 1998 Nagano Games, while warm temperatures and high winds affected operations during the 1988 Calgary Games. Adverse weather (e.g., heavy snowfall, strong wind, low visibility due to fog or snow, or avalanches) may delay or postpone events associated with the 2002 Winter Games. Snow and ice-covered surface streets and highways along the Wasatch Front and over the Wasatch Mountains could impede road access to the venues by athletes and spectators while limited visibility and high winds could hamper aviation operations over mountain passes. The safety, health and comfort of spectators who may be outdoors for several hours could be affected by heavy snow or rain, extreme wind chills, or snow & ice on sidewalks and paths. An extended stable period with cold air trapped in the valleys along the Wasatch Front could lead to poor air quality that may result in respiratory problems for athletes and spectators. Still other activities affected by weather include:

- snow making,
- construction, safety, and durability of temporary outdoor facilities and displays,
- outdoor ceremonies (opening and closing ceremonies at the University of Utah and medal award presentations in downtown SLC),
- outdoor celebrations in cities throughout northern Utah,
- operation of parking lots at venues and transportation hubs,

• emergency and security operations related to the spill or release of hazardous materials.

The Olympic weather support system must meet the diverse requirements of the 2002 Winter Games in the context of the hazardous winter weather often experienced in northern Utah. Typical and extreme weather conditions observed during past winters in northern Utah will be summarized in the next section. An overview of the weather support system will be presented in Section 3 followed by more detailed discussion of the support activities provided by CIRP. The potential legacies of the weather support system will be summarized in Section 5.

# 2. Local Climates and Hazardous Weather

#### a. Local Climates

The interaction of atmospheric flows with the complex terrain of northern Utah contributes to the development of a variety of micro climates. We summarize here the typical weather likely to be experienced by the athletes and spectators on the basis of long-term records (over 30 years) available at valley stations and some mountain locations. Records at several of the outdoor venues were available only for the past several years (see Section 4a).

Along the Wasatch Front, early morning temperatures are typically below freezing (average minimum temperature around  $-4^{\circ}$ C ( $25^{\circ}$ F) in February and  $-1^{\circ}$ C ( $30^{\circ}$ F) in March). Afternoon maximum temperatures are usually above  $4^{\circ}$ C ( $40^{\circ}$ F) in February and above  $10^{\circ}$ C ( $50^{\circ}$ F) in March. About 5 snow storms occur along the Wasatch Front during February with average snow-fall during the month around 28 cm (11 in). Snowstorms are equally likely during the Paralympic Games during March. Stable episodes coupled with heavy fog in the valleys occur occasionally, with roughly an 8% chance that fog develops on any particular day in February near the SLC airport.

Mountain valleys to the east of the Wasatch Mountains are at higher elevation than the Wasatch Front. Temperatures tend to be significantly lower in the morning while afternoon temperatures are similar to those along the Wasatch Front. The number of storms with precipitation falling as snow rather than rain is slightly higher in the mountain valleys than the number along the Wasatch Front with mean February snowfall amounts varying from 28 cm (11 in) in the Ogden Valley near the Snowbasin venue to 41 cm (16 in) in the Heber Valley near the Soldier Hollow venue.

The outdoor venues, located within 50 km of downtown SLC, vary in elevation from 2826 m (9270 ft) at the top of the Snowbasin men's downhill course to 1670 m (5480 m) at the Soldier Hollow cross country/biathlon course. There are tremendous variations in the weather and climate at these venues. Snowbasin, for example, averages 193 cm (76 in) of snowfall during February near the top of the men's downhill course while Soldier Hollow averages only 48 cm (19 in) during the same period. Winds may exceed 30 m s<sup>-1</sup> at the ridge tops at the same time that the winds remain less than 5 m s<sup>-1</sup> at the base of the mountain.

#### b. Hazardous Weather

Examination of February and March weather conditions during the past 30 years illustrates that northern Utah experiences many types of widespread hazardous weather conditions, including low temperature, heavy snowfall, and high wind. Long-term records at the Salt Lake City, Hill Air Force, and Provo airports have been used to determine the frequency of occurrence of rain, snow, fog, high wind, and extreme cold temperature. (Summaries of this research are available via the Internet at http://www.met.utah.edu/olympics.) Infrequent arctic air outbreaks have led to cold temperatures below  $-18^{\circ}$  C (0°F) in the Salt Lake Valley during February. Record snowfall in a 24

hour interval during the Olympic period varies from over 25 cm (10 in) along the Wasatch Front to over 100 cm (40 in) in the mountains. Strong gusty winds, often lasting several hours prior to the passage of fronts, are common.

Some hazardous weather tends to recur in preferred locations as summarized in Fig. 2. Ice fog develops along the bottom of the Salt Lake Valley, which often affects operations at the SLC International Airport, and contributes to hazardous driving conditions near the intersection of I-215 and I-15 (Fig. 2). Hazardous driving during snowstorms is also a common occurrence over major passes while avalanches block US-189 in Provo Canyon occasionally (Fig. 2). Easterly gap winds are common at canyon mouths (e.g., Weber Canyon on the Interstate 84 corridor and Parley's Canyon on the Interstate 80 corridor). Downslope wind storms with winds in excess of 30 m s<sup>-1</sup> occasionally develop to the west of the Wasatch Range and extend out a few km into the Wasatch Front lowlands (see Fig. 2). Downslope conditions, combined with enhanced drainage circulations during early morning, often lead to particularly strong winds at the canyon mouths. The climatological frequency of winds in excess of 20 m s<sup>-1</sup> of 8% indicates that strong winds could affect opening and closing ceremonies on the University of Utah campus.

A major winter-season forecast challenge for Utah meteorologists, and a concern for Winter Games logistics, are lake-effect snowstorms produced by the Great Salt Lake. Such snowstorms can produce snowfalls of 10-30 cm (4-12 in) along the Wasatch Front (see Fig. 2), and have contributed to lowland storm total accumulations of as much as 130 cm (50 in) (Carpenter 1993; Slemmer 1998; Steenburgh et al. 2000). Installation of the NWS Weather Surveillance Radar-1988 Doppler (WSR-88D) radar at Promontory Point in 1994, and subsequent development and expansion of MesoWest (see Section 4a), provided an opportunity for rapid progress in the understanding of Great Salt Lake effect snowstorms prior to the Olympics. Steenburgh et al. (2000),

Steenburgh and Onton (2001), and Onton and Steenburgh (2001) describe the large-scale characteristics and mesoscale structure of Great Salt Lake-effect snowstorms.

While each winter over the past 30 years produced examples of adverse conditions that might affect the hosting of the Olympic and Paralympic Games, a series of particularly severe events occurred during February 1986, which include:

• 12-13 February. A very wet storm along the Wasatch Front and Wasatch Mountains with 60-90 cm of snow in the Mountains. Many naturally released avalanches occurred. On Interstate 80 (the major pass across the Wasatch Mountains), 60 cm of snow fell with blizzard conditions reported.

• 14-17 February. Warm temperatures with heavy rains in the valleys and over the lower slopes of the Wasatch Mountains. Heavy snow at higher elevations led to avalanches and mud slides: a couple of homes were destroyed in the central Wasatch Mountains. A 55 ms<sup>-1</sup> wind gust was recorded at the Park City Ski Resort (site of Olympic slalom events). The Snowbasin Ski Resort (site of downhill and super-G slalom Olympic events) was closed.

• 18 February. A record high minimum temperature of 11°C for February was observed at the SLC airport. Snow making during the period 14-18 February at the Soldier Hollow cross-country venue would have been impossible.

• 19 February. A squall line traversed northern Utah with 54 m s<sup>-1</sup> gust at the Park City Ski Resort. Morgan County was declared a disaster area as a result of flooding (considerable traffic to the Snowbasin Ski Resort will travel through Morgan County).

# 3. Olympic Weather Support: Organization and Roles

#### a. Organization

Olympic weather support has traditionally been led by the host country's primary weather agency. For example, weather support for the 1996 Centennial Olympic Games held in Atlanta, Georgia was led by the NWS (McLaughlin and Rothfusz 1996; Rothfusz et al. 1998) while weather support for the Sydney 2000 Olympic and Paralympic Games was provided by the Australian Bureau of Meteorology. Because of the complex weather-related needs of the Olympics, many other groups have often become involved in weather support activities. For example, 25 federal and state agencies and commercial firms and 15 components of the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration assisted the NWS Southern Region for the Atlanta Games (McLaughlin and Rothfusz 1996; Powell and Rinard 1998; Snook et al. 1998; Johnson et al. 2000). Further, Olympics have often served as opportunities to showcase new technology and test new forecast techniques (Snook et al. 1998; Keenan et al. 2000).

Meteorological services prior to and during the 1998 Nagano Winter Olympic Games were provided by the Japan Weather Association, the largest private weather organization in Japan, under contract from the Nagano Area Organizing Committee. Weather delays during the Nagano Games were greatly mitigated by long-term meteorological planning and development of a sophisticated and detailed forecast system.

The organization of the weather support system for the 2002 Winter Games differs from the ones used for previous Olympics. Table 1 summarizes the groups that will provide weather support during the Games. The primary weather forecasting responsibilities for the Olympics are shared by NWS and KSL forecasters. Forecasters from other government agencies will provide forecasts for specific Olympic-related applications. Other groups, including CIRP, have developed

resources that will be used by the forecasters. We review the roles of each group in the following sub-sections.

#### b. SLOC Weather Support Group

Staff members in the SLOC sports department manage and coordinate weather support for SLOC operations. SLOC is responsible for weather support for the athletes, sports officials, SLOC staff, accredited media, and national and international Olympic committees. One of the biggest challenges is to facilitate close coordination among all forecasting groups to ensure that consistent forecasts are issued. The SLOC weather support group also manages weather volunteers, including undergraduate and graduate students at the University of Utah and other local residents. The weather volunteers assist venue forecasters and provide supplemental weather observations required for the events. Official manual weather observations are started one hour before each outdoor event begins and continue at 15 minute intervals throughout the event.

#### c. SLC Weather Forecast Office

The NWS role in support of the 2002 Winter Games is to provide public forecasts and warnings to protect lives and property, in accord with its mission. The SLC WFO will be referred to as the Weather Operations Center (WOC) during the Olympics. In addition to the regular SLC WFO staff, five forecasters form other NWS WFOs and one forecaster from the National Severe Storms Laboratory were selected to participate in WOC operations. Four of the six supplementary NWS forecasters will be assigned to the WOC at any given time during the Games. Two Advanced Weather Information and Processing Systems (AWIPS) workstations have been added to the WOC for use by the supplementary forecasters to access and display observations and numerical guidance. The supplementary NWS forecasters issued practice forecasts during a period of World Cup events during February-March 2001.

In addition to routine WFO operations, the supplementary NWS forecasters will be responsible for coordinating warnings and forecasts with the KSL forecasters, as well as forecasters at the Aviation Security Operations Center (ASOC) at Hill Air Force Base. They will also issue a Hazardous Winter Weather Potential product twice each day that focuses on each of the primary transportation corridors connecting the Wasatch Front with the venues to the east of the Wasatch (see Figs. 1 and 2). This product includes forecasts of weather, wind, temperature, wind chill, precipitation type and amount. Forecasts of weather, wind, temperature, and snowfall amount are also made for the avalanche zones along US-189 (Fig. 2). The Utah Department of Transportation will use these forecasts as part of their winter road maintenance and avalanche control operations along with forecasts issued by Northwest Weathernet (see Section 3i). The supplementary NWS forecasters will field media inquiries from both SLOC-accredited and non-accredited media organizations that may otherwise overwhelm the existing WFO staff.

Figure 3 summarizes the flow of information through the WOC. The primary source of weather information at the WOC will be received from AWIPS. Additional observations will flow from the venues and from CIRP. The NWS will distribute weather guidance to many users through a public web page and other routine distribution methods. NWS products will also be inserted into the protected communications system operated by the Utah Olympic Public Safety Command, which is a consortium of local, state, and federal security and safety agencies. Other components of the data flow required for the Olympics will be described in later sections.

#### d. KSL weather team

A team of 13 private sector meteorologists has the responsibility to provide detailed microscale weather forecasts for the five outdoor venues (Fig. 4). These forecasts will be primarily used by the athletes, sports managers, team captains, venue managers, Olympic officials and on-site spectators. Four members of the KSL team will work in the WOC while seven other members will be located at the five mountain venues. The remaining two members of the team provide overall coordination and will give briefings to Olympic and SLOC officials in SLC at least twice each day. Weather briefings will also be provided to SLOC-accredited media at the Olympic Media Center.

The KSL venue forecast team was assembled by Mark Eubank, KSL chief meteorologist, and is composed of meteorologists who have extensive experience forecasting the weather in northern Utah. The team consists of retired NWS meteorologists and personnel from six private forecasting companies: WeatherCycles, Inc.; WeatherBank, Inc.; WeatherFacts, Inc.; Meteorological Solutions, Inc.; EM-Assist; and Alta Forecasting. The venue forecast team was assembled during 1999 and has two winters of on-site forecast experience for pre-Olympic and World Cup testing and training events.

The KSL forecasters at the WOC have the responsibility to "funnel" the overall weather picture down to the mesoscale. A joint weather phone conference will be conducted each morning by the venue, WOC, and ASOC forecasters. Mesoscale forecasts will be sent to each venue from the WOC, and the venue forecasters will then interpret the information and apply it to the microscale. Briefings at each venue will be given routinely 2 to 3 times a day or more often if needed. The venue forecasters will have on-site access to the latest weather observations, graphics and model data using FX-Net (see Section 3f) and also have access to weather products over the Internet.

Weather forecasts will be issued three times daily at 6 LST, 12 LST, and 18 LST. Updates will be issued as needed. The first 13 hours of each forecast period will consist of hourly forecasts followed by 3 hourly forecasts out to 60 hours. Forecast fields include: sky cover, precipitation type and amount, air temperature, wind direction, wind speed, wind gusts, wind chill, visibility, humidity, and snow temperature.

#### e. NOAA Cooperative Institute for Regional Prediction (CIRP)

CIRP assists in the coordination of weather support activities by SLOC and the various forecast groups. (CIRP received funding from the U.S. Congress during FY 1997-2001 to help prepare and support the operational forecast responsibilities of the Olympic weather support system.) The Olympic weather support project at the University of Utah contributes to the educational, research, and public service missions of the University. Olympic-related activities underway in CIRP will be summarized in greater detail in Section 4.

#### f. NWS Western Region Headquarters

The NWS Western Region Scientific Services Division has set up AWIPS servers to feed data to the outdoor venues where it can be viewed on the FX-Net system. This PC-based system was designed by NOAA's Forecast Systems Laboratory as a vehicle to allow meteorologists to look at the AWIPS data set on a lower-cost platform fed by narrow-bandwidth communications. Hence, the KSL venue forecasters have access to the same data sets as the NWS and KSL forecasters at the WOC. The venue forecasters tested the FX-Net software during the past winter and were satisfied with its performance.

#### g. Aviation Security Operations Center (ASOC)

ASOC forecasters will provide forecasts and briefings to pilots flying to venues. A communications line and FX-Net system has been installed at the ASOC at Hill Air Force Base to facilitate coordination between WOC and ASOC forecasters. To support helicopter and other aviation traffic landing at outdoor venues, the U. S. Air Force will also deploy Tactical Meteorological Observing System (TMOS) portable weather stations near the Snowbasin, Olympic Park, Park City, and Soldier Hollow outdoor venues, as well as at one location to the west of the mountain pass between Salt Lake City and Park City (see Fig. 5). TMOS provides continuous reports of wind, temperature, moisture, ceiling, visibility, liquid equivalent precipitation, and present weather.

#### h. Utah Avalanche Center

The U.S. Forest Service Utah Avalanche Center is located at the WOC. In addition to their normal duties to advise back country travellers (skiers, snowmobilers, snowshoers) on avalanche potential, the avalanche forecasters will provide guidance to security personnel on avalanche risks around the back country perimeters of avalanche-prone venues.

#### *i. Other Groups*

Some weather forecast activities are largely autonomous from the weather support system outlined in the previous sub-sections. For example, Northwest Weathernet has provided the Utah Department of Transportation with road weather and pavement condition forecasts for several winters and will continue to do so during the Olympics and Paralympics. The Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) will coordinate the efforts of a number of government agencies and will provide specialized forecasts to mitigate hazardous spills or releases. Ambient air quality is

monitored by the Utah Air Monitoring Center to protect the health of Utah citizens and Olympic visitors. All of these additional monitoring and forecasting activities rely to some extent upon MesoWest observations (see Section 4a) and other resources in common with the other forecast groups.

# 4. CIRP Support Activities

Research has been underway since the creation of CIRP in 1996 to improve the understanding and prediction of atmospheric flows in complex terrain. For example, the Intermountain Precipitation Experiment (IPEX) was held during February 2000 in the vicinity of SLC to improve the understanding, analysis, and prediction of precipitation over the complex orography of the Intermountain West (see the companion article by Schultz et al. 2002). Besides basic and applied research on Utah weather (see Section 2), CIRP faculty, staff, and students have contributed to the Olympic effort in three general areas: monitoring current weather conditions in northern Utah and around the West, real-time mesoscale modeling, and direct support activities for the Olympic weather support system. These activities are summarized in the following sub-sections.

#### a. MesoWest and SnowNet

A number of weather networks were operational in northern Utah when the Winter Olympics were awarded to Salt Lake City in 1995. Work was underway at that time by forecasters at the SLC WFO and researchers at the University of Utah to collect the observations from those networks for use in weather forecasting and research. Nonetheless, it was recognized that additional collaboration with SLOC, commercial firms, and local, state, and federal agencies was necessary to support the weather needs of the games organizers, athletes, and weather forecast team. Since documentation of weather conditions prior to the Olympics was required for planning (and during the Olympics for operations), additional weather sensors and weather stations were needed at venues and other key locations in northern Utah. Beginning in 1996, weather equipment has been installed cooperatively by SLOC, CIRP, the NWS, the Utah Department of Transportation, and the commercial firms and state agencies managing the outdoor olympic venues. Portable weather stations manufactured by Campbell Scientific, Inc. that were deployed by the NWS Southern Region for the 1996 Atlanta Summer Games were made available to the NWS Western Region after the summer games were completed. Also during 1996, the NWS Western Region and the National Severe Storms Laboratory began a research project in the vicinity of SLC designed to validate WSR-88D radar algorithms in regions of complex terrain. In support of this project, weather equipment was deployed at eight locations (four along the Wasatch Front and four at Olympic venues).

A unique partnership has evolved since 1996 within the government, commercial, and research communities to share weather information in northern Utah and throughout the western United States. Initially referred to as the Utah Mesonet, the collection of data outside of Utah led to its redesignation as MesoWest in January 2000 (see companion article by Horel et al. (2002). During February-March 2002, weather observations will be available from over 278 locations in the northern Utah region shown in Fig. 5. These weather stations are owned and operated by 15 commercial firms, 8 local and state agencies, and 9 federal agencies. Throughout the western United States, MesoWest is dominated by the large federal networks that support primarily the aviation, fire weather, and water resource communities. In northern Utah, we have worked closely with the private sector and local and state agencies that operate weather stations for a variety of specific purposes, including: water resource management, air quality monitoring, winter road

maintenance, equipment development, agriculture, ski area operations, and emergency management.

Weather data are collected at 5 to 60 minute intervals via ethernet, phone, cellular phone, radio, satellite, and meteor burst technologies. As part of a combined effort of the SLC WFO and CIRP, the WFO operates 4 computers that collect weather observations by phone and radio from Campbell Scientific weather stations deployed by commercial firms and government agencies. This collection effort is called SnowNet and radio base stations are maintained at the Promontory Point WSR-88D radar site, Farnsworth Peak, and Olympics Sports Park to provide radio coverage across the Great Salt Lake, along the Wasatch Front, and at the Sports Park venue, respectively (Fig. 5).

As part of SnowNet activities, weather observations are collected from 27 sites at the 5 outdoor Olympic venues (Fig. 4). All weather stations report wind, temperature and relative humidity, while at least one station at each venue has additional liquid equivalent precipitation, snow depth, and pressure sensors. Weather stations are also located in close proximity to all of the indoor venues along the Wasatch Front (see Fig. 5).

As a means to integrate the surface observations in northern Utah for use in nowcasting and forecast verification, surface analyses of temperature, wind, and relative humidity are generated on a 1-km horizontal grid every 15 minutes (Lazarus et al. 2002). These analyses are made available, along with the surface observations, via the Internet to all potential users as well as distributed for use in AWIPS at the WOC and FX-Net at the outdoor venues (Fig. 3).

#### b. Real-time mesoscale modeling

For the past 3 years, CIRP has provided modeling support to WFO operations in the form of twice-daily real-time model guidance. These activities have allowed for the validation and hands-

on use of the modeling system by WFO and KSL forecasters, and the development of MOS equations that provide point-specific forecasts for Olympic venues and other weather-sensitive location. The modeling system is known as the Intermountain Weather Forecast System (IWFS) and is based on the non-hydrostatic Penn State/NCAR MM5 version 3 (Grell et al. 1995). Since July 1998, IWFS has featured an outer domain with 36-km grid spacing that covers the western United States and a nested domain with 12-km grid spacing that covers Utah and portions of adjacent states. The most recent configuration (September 2001) includes an expanded 12 km domain and a 4 km grid nest, which was evaluated by Olympic forecasters for several months prior to the Winter Games (Fig. 6). Initial and lateral boundary conditions are provided by the NCEP Eta model, although a second simulation is run, but available at a later time, using the NCEP Aviation model. Since June 2001, a version of ADAS (see section 4a) has been used to incorporate MesoWest observations into the near-surface initial conditions. Since September 2000, the IWFS has run on a Linux cluster. Using 16 1.3-GHz Advanced Micro Devices Athlon processors, a 36-h forecast (with all three domains) requires 90 min to complete. As a result, 0000 UTC (1200 UTC) model output is typically available to forecasters by 0400 (1600 UTC).

Hourly model output is transferred to AWIPS systems at the WOC and NWS Western Region Headquarters. As previously mentioned, KSL forecasters rely upon FX-Net at outdoor venues to access model output, including IWFS graphics. MM5-based model output statistics (MM5-MOS) provide hourly forecasts of temperature, dewpoint, wind speed, and wind direction at the outdoor venues and other weather sensitive locations (Table 2). At venues with substantial variability in surface weather conditions, MM5-MOS is available for multiple observing sites. MM5-MOS was used by Olympic forecasters during test events held during the 2000-2001 winter season, and was upgraded during summer 2001 using a three-year period of observations and forecasts. Model products and MM5-MOS can be accessed at http://www.met.utah.edu/olympics.

#### c. SLOC Support Activities

CIRP faculty and staff have worked closely with SLOC staff to develop access to weather information required for Olympic planning and operations. Considerable effort has been required to develop software to route weather information to the SLOC computer networks while maintaining secure networking for all organizations. The Info 2002 computer system has been designed by SLOC for the athletes, Olympic organizers, and SLOC-accredited media while SLOC and MSNBC have jointly developed the official public Internet site for the Winter Games (http://www.saltlake2002.com).

As summarized schematically in Fig. 3, weather reports from automated weather stations at the venues are collected routinely. (Automated weather observations are also accessed manually on a personal computer operated by a weather volunteer at the venue.) Supplemental information (e.g., sky condition and snow temperature) required by SLOC is collected by weather volunteers before, during, and after competition, entered into a spreadsheet, and transmitted automatically to the WOC. Forecasts issued by the venue forecasters are also transmitted automatically to the WOC. These reports (along with all requested NWS products such as zone forecasts, advisories, watches and warnings, and transportation corridor forecasts) are then processed and transferred automatically to the SLOC Central Repository System for use by the Info 2002 and MSNBC public web site.

# 5. Legacy

The states that comprise the Intermountain West are undergoing significant population increases and the economic losses from winter snowstorms in this region are high (Schultz et al. 2002). The weather support infrastructure developed for the Winter Olympics will have long-term benefits to the public throughout the Intermountain West. The improved tools (MesoWest, ADAS, and IWFS) will be in place to monitor and predict storms throughout the Intermountain West during all seasons. The experience gained from the application of these tools by skilled NWS and private forecasters will likely prove invaluable to continued improvements in both operational and research models. In addition, the ongoing research related to lake-effect snowstorms and orographic precipitation will help to improve the understanding of winter weather in complex terrain. Improved understanding benefits not only Olympic forecasts, but other weather prediction activities over northern Utah, including public forecasts and warnings by the NWS and avalanche prediction by the Utah Department of Transportation and Utah Avalanche Center.

The dense network of MesoWest observations in northern Utah has been utilized for other research applications. For example, the Department of Energy Vertical Transport and Mixing (VTMX) experiment was held in the Salt Lake Valley during October 2000, in part, as a result of the existing infrastructure that is supported by government agencies and commercial firms (Doran et al. 2002). Additional field programs are likely to occur in this region as a result of the existing data resources and the many scientific questions arising from the complex air flow over the Wasatch Front valleys, Great Salt Lake, and adjacent mountains. The comprehensive data sets collected during the Olympics, IPEX, VTMX, and future field programs will be invaluable to study mountain weather processes.

Acknowledgments: We would like to acknowledge the time and effort of the individuals involved with Olympic weather support from the following organizations: SLOC sports (J. Aalberg, A. Kemper, J. McCorquindale, K. Priestner, W. Springmeyer; and weather aide volunteers); SLOC Information Systems (P. Flichy); SLC WFO (entire staff); other NWS WFOs (T. Barker, J. Cline, R. Graham, T. Niziol); National Severe Storms Laboratory (D. Schultz); KSL forecast team (R. Augulis, E. Carle, J. Conlin, D. Jackman, M. Kok, J. Nicholls, B. Nielsen, M. Rehwald, D. Risch, S. Root, P. Stoll, R. Wright); CIRP (C. Ciliberti, D. Onton, J. Pechmann, J. Slemmer, B. White); and NWS Western Region Scientific Services Division (J. Burks, J. Campbell, A. Edman, K. Schrab, M. Trainor, D. Zaff). We also gratefully acknowledge the work related to SnowNet provided by D. Judd, Judd Communications, and S. Vasiloff, National Severe Storms Laboratory. Special thanks to Steven Lazarus, Florida Institute of Technology, for his comments on a draft of the manuscript and his data assimilation research while at the University of Utah. Support for the work at CIRP was provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration through grants NA87WA0351 and NA97WA0227. This study was made possible in part due to the data made available by the governmental agencies, commercial firms, and educational institutions participating in MesoWest

# References

- Carpenter, D. M., 1993: The lake effect of the Great Salt Lake: overview and forecast problems. *Wea. Forecasting*, **8**, 181-193.
- Doran, C., J. Fast, J. Horel, 2002: The Vertical Transport and Mixing Experiment Field Campaign. Submitted to *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*
- Grell, G. A., J. Dudhia, and D. R. Stauffer, 1995: A description of the fifth-generation Penn State/ NCAR Mesoscale Model (MM5). NCAR Tech. Note NCAR/TN-398+STR, 122 pp. [Available from UCAR Communications, P.O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307]
- Johnson, J. T., M. D. Eilts, D. Ruth, W. Goodman, and L. Rothfusz, 2000: Warning operations in support of the 1996 centennial Olympic Games. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **81**, 543-554.
- Horel, J., M. Splitt, L. Dunn, B. White, J. Pechmann, C. Ciliberti, S. Lazarus, J. Slemmer, D. Zaff,J. Burks, 2002: MesoWest: Cooperative mesonets in the western United States. Submitted to*Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*
- Keenan, T., J. Bally, P. May, P. Purdam, G. Freeman, R. Potts, S. Dance, A. Seed, J. Wilson, B. Conway, B. Golding, and C. Collier, 2000. The Sydney 2000 Forecast Demonstration Project, *Bull. Aust. Meteor. and Oceanogr. Soc.*, 13, 63-66.
- Lazarus, S., C. Ciliberti, J. Horel, 2002: Near-real time applications of a mesoscale analysis system to complex terrain. Submitted to *Mon. Wea. Rev.*
- Loomis, B., 2001: First Saturday of the Games will offer a key test for Olympic organizers. *Salt Lake Tribune*. Electronic edition. February 9, 2001.
- McLaughlin, M. and L. Rothfusz, 1996: *The National Weather Service: Weather and the XXVI Olympiad.* 55 pp. [Available from NWS Southern Region Headquarters, 819 Taylor St., Rm 10A26, Fort Worth, TX, 76102.]

- Onton, D. J., and W. J. Steenburgh, 2001: Diagnostic and sensitivity studies of the 7 December 1998 Great Salt Lake-effect snowstorm. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* **129**, 1318-1338.
- Powell, M. D., and S. K. Rinard, 1998: Marine forecasting at the 1996 centennial Olympic games.*Wea. Forecasting*, 13, 764-782.
- Rothfusz, L. P., M. R. McLaughlin, and S. K. Rinard, 1998: An overview of NWS weather support for the XXVI Olympiad. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **79**, 845-860.
- Schultz, D., W. Steenburgh, R. Trapp, J. Horel, D. Kingsmill, L. Dunn, W. Rust, L. Cheng, A. Bansemer, J. Cox, J. Daugherty, D. Jorgensen, J. Meitin, L. Showell, B. Smull, K. Tarp, M. Trainor, 2002: The Intermountain Precipitation Experiment (IPEX). Submitted to *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*
- Slemmer, J. W., 1998: Characteristics of winter snowstorms near Salt Lake City as deduced from surface and radar observations. M. S. thesis, Dept. of Meteorology, University of Utah, 138 pp. [Available from Dept. Of Meteorology, University of Utah, 145 South 1460 East Room 209, Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0110].
- Snook, J. S., P. A. Stamus, and J. Edwards, 1998: Local-domain mesoscale analysis and forecast model support for the 1996 centennial Olympic games. *Wea. Forecasting*, **13**, 138-150.
- Steenburgh, W. J., S. F. Halvorson, and D. J. Onton, 2000: Climatology of lake-effect snowstorms of the Great Salt Lake. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **128**, 709-727.
- Steenburgh, W. J., and D. J. Onton, 2001: Multiscale analysis of the 7 December 1998 Great Salt Lake-effect snowstorm. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **129**, 1296-1317.

#### **Figure Captions**

Figure 1. Locations of Olympic venues (numbers 1-10) and the sports at those venues (icons). Dpening and Closing Ceremonies (11), Medals Plaza (12), and the Salt Lake City International Airport (13) are shown as well as icons for locations of Park and Ride sites. Outdoor venues are Snowbasin Ski Area (2); Utah Olympic Park (6); Park City Mountain Resort (7); Deer Valley Resort (8); and Soldier Hollow (9). Figure courtesy of the Salt Lake City Corporation.

Figure 2. Local hazardous weather that often occurs in preferred geographic areas includes: ice 'og in the lowest elevations of the Salt Lake Valley (green shading), which affects aviation at the SLC Airport (green dot) and surface travel near the southern intersection of I-215 and I-15 (green lot); lake-effect snowbands, which tend to form downstream of the Great Salt Lake parallel to the direction of the prevailing wind flow (pink arrows); downslope wind storms (red shading) to the west of the Wasatch Mountains, including near Olympic Stadium (red dot); blizzard conditions over mountain passes (double red lines); and avalanches along major roadways (double blue lines). Terrain above 2000 m is shaded and icons denote the locations of indoor and outdoor venues.

Figure 3. Data flows to the Weather Operations Center (WOC) via AWIPS broadcast, from the outdoor venues, and from CIRP. NWS Western Region broadcasts weather products using FX-Net to the outdoor venues and to the ASOC. Routine NWS and special Weather Operations Center products are distributed to many users. Products sent to the SLOC Central Repository System are made available to the general public via the MSNBC web page and to SLOC staff, officials, athletes, and accredited media via Info 2002.

Figure 4. Locations of the weather stations (red 3-letter MesoWest identifiers) in the vicinity of the competition areas at the outdoor venues: (a) Snowbasin Ski Area; (b) Utah Olympic Park; (c) Park City Mountain Resort; (d) Deer Valley Resort; and (e) Soldier Hollow. A total of 29 weather stations are deployed at the outdoor venues; some lie outside the competition areas. Photos by D. Quinney and venue maps courtesy of the Salt Lake Olympic Committee.

Figure 5. Locations (red triangles) of 278 weather stations in the vicinity of Salt Lake City that are available for the 2002 Winter Olympic Games. Blue squares denote weather stations that collect weather information from other remote weather stations by radio and which are maintained as part of SnowNet by CIRP. Yellow circles denote locations of Air Force TMOS stations. Successively darker shading denotes higher terrain.

Figure 6. Example IWFS forecast of 10 m wind (vectors), 40 m temperature (contours, <sup>o</sup>C), and 1 h precipitation totals (mm; according to the scale in the right margin of (a)) from the IWFS on 3 nested grids: a) 36 km; (b) 12 km; and (c) 4km. The graphics are valid at 300 UTC, 21 August 2001 and the forecast was initialized at 1200 UTC, 20 August 2001.

Table 1: Groups providing weather support during the 2002 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games. Bold type denotes the primary weather forecasting groups.

Organization	Staffing	Role	Activities	Customers	
SLOC Weather Support Group	SLOC sports staff	Manage weather support for the Olympics and Paralympics	Coordinate delivery of weather information; manage weather volun- teers	SLOC staff; athletes; sports officials; SLOC- accredited media	
NWS SLC Weather Fore- cast Office (WFO)	Routine staffing + 6 additional forecasters	Provide and coordinate weather guidance for northern Utah	Issue routine weather forecasts, warnings, and special Olympic-related forecasts	Public; SLOC accredited and non-accredited media; public safety, security, and transportation officials	
KSL weather team	Private weather forecasters	Provide detailed weather guidance for venue opera- tions Issue forecasts for outdoor venues; conduct official SLOC weather briefings		Spectators at outdoor ven- ues; accredited media; SLOC staff; athletes; sports officials; national and international Olym- pic committee	
Cooperative Institute for Regional Pre- diction (CIRP)	Faculty, staff, and students	Support weather opera- tions	Provide MesoWest and venue observations and numerical guidance from the Intermountain Weather Forecast System; support weather data transmission to SLOC	SLOC staff; athletes; sports officials; forecasters	
NWS Western Region Head- quarters	Scientific Ser- vices Division staff	Support distribution of weather information via FSL FX-Net	Monitor data delivery	Venue forecasters; fore- casters at ASOC	
Aviation Secu- rity Operations Center (ASOC)	Hill Air Force Base forecasters	Support medical and secu- rity aviation operations	Provide forecasts and briefings for pilots	Pilots flying to/from ven- ues	
Utah Avalanche Center	U.S. Forest Ser- vice avalanche forecasters	Advise security personnel near venues and back country travellers regard- ing avalanche potential	Issue avalanche forecasts	Security officials and back country skiers	
Northwest Weathernet	Private weather forecasters	Advise Utah Department of Transportation person- nel regarding weather impacts on winter road maintenance	Issue weather and pave- ment condition forecasts	Utah Department of Transportation staff	
Defense Threat Reduction Agency	Staff from gov- ernment agen- cies	Support operations to mit- igate hazardous spills or releases	Provide guidance as needed	Security officials	
Utah Division of Air Quality	Air Monitoring Center staff	Monitor air quality	Issue restrictions on wood burning and driving	Public	

Table 2. Sample MOS output from IWFS for a location near the Park City Mountain Resort	t
venue. This forecast was initialized at 1200 UTC 20 August, 2001.	

MM5 MOS Predicted Weather Conditions for PARK CITY MUN G.C. (SNOWNET), UT Lat/Lon/Elev= 40.66/ -111.52/6354.99 ft									
Time/Date(Local)	Temperature (f)	Dewpoint (f)	Relative Humidity (%)	Wind Speed (mph)	Wind Direction	Raw Model 1–hour Accumulated Precip (in)	Raw– Model Total Accum. Precip (in)		
09:00 am Aug 20 2001	67.8	45.3	49.2	0.6	SW 🛪 (215)	0.04	0.09		
10:00 am Aug 20 2001	70.1	45.9	46.5	1.0	SW 🛪 (228)	0.03	0.11		
11:00 am Aug 20 2001	73.5	45.5	40.1	1.3	SW 🛪 (227)	0.01	0.12		
12:00 pm Aug 20 2001	75.1	45.7	35.4	1.5	SW 🛪 (230)	0.01	0.14		
01:00 pm Aug 20 2001	75.4	46.9	39.3	1.6	SW 🛪 (215)	0.01	0.14		
02:00 pm Aug 20 2001	76.2	46.6	38.8	1.5	SW 🛪 (222)	0.00	0.15		
03:00 pm Aug 20 2001	76.1	46.3	36.7	1.6	SW 🛪 (235)	0.02	0.16		
04:00 pm Aug 20 2001	70.3	47.0	51.5	1.0	SW 🛪 (211)	0.10	0.26		
05:00 pm Aug 20 2001	61.2	48.2	75.0	0.9	SW 🛪 (203)	0.11	0.37		
06:00 pm Aug 20 2001	50.3	49.4	100.0	0.9	S 🕇 (197)	0.11	0.48		
07:00 pm Aug 20 2001	54.5	47.9	92.1	0.8	S 1(192)	0.07	0.55		
08:00 pm Aug 20 2001	59.3	46.7	69.8	0.6	S 1(191)	0.01	0.56		
09:00 pm Aug 20 2001	61.0	46.8	65.4	0.7	SW 🛪 (206)	0.00	0.56		
10:00 pm Aug 20 2001	60.0	45.2	63.5	1.1	SW 🛪 (222)	0.00	0.56		
11:00 pm Aug 20 2001	56.8	44.5	71.0	1.0	SW 🛪 (223)	0.00	0.56		
12:00 am Aug 21 2001	54.3	45.0	79.8	0.8	S 🕇 (202)	0.00	0.56		
01:00 am Aug 21 2001	53.8	46.3	80.1	0.6	S 🕇 (200)	0.00	0.56		
02:00 am Aug 21 2001	54.1	47.3	78.4	0.4	S 🕇 (191)	0.00	0.56		
03:00 am Aug 21 2001	53.3	48.4	80.0	0.1	S 🕇 (158)	0.00	0.56		
04:00 am Aug 21 2001	53.1	47.3	80.6	0.0	S 🕇 (164)	0.00	0.56		
05:00 am Aug 21 2001	52.7	46.7	81.2	0.0	SE K (129)	0.00	0.56		
06:00 am Aug 21 2001	52.5	43.2	76.2	0.1	SW 🛪 (217)	0.00	0.57		
07:00 am Aug 21 2001	55.4	47.3	68.5	0.0	S 🕇 (160)	0.01	0.57		
08:00 am Aug 21 2001	57.7	44.6	66.8	0.3	S 🕇 (201)	0.01	0.58		
09:00 am Aug 21 2001	61.9	45.5	54.7	0.4		0.01	0.58		
10:00 am Aug 21 2001	66.3	43.4	44.4	0.7	SW 🛪 (207)	0.01	0.59		
11:00 am Aug 21 2001	69.7	40.3	36.0	1.0	SW 🛪 (209)	0.00	0.59		
12:00 pm Aug 21 2001	71.6	39.2	37.2	1.2	SW 🛪 (214)	0.00	0.59		
01:00 pm Aug 21 2001	74.0	39.2	31.6	1.6	SW 🛪 (217)	0.00	0.59		
02:00 pm Aug 21 2001	75.0	39.5	30.2	1.6	SW 🛪 (219)	0.00	0.59		
03:00 pm Aug 21 2001	76.8	38.0	28.4	1.8	SW 🛪 (216)	0.00	0.59		
04:00 pm Aug 21 2001	75.7	35.3	27.0	1.7	SW 🛪 (213)	0.00	0.59		
05:00 pm Aug 21 2001	74.3	34.0	27.2	1.5	SW 🛪 (210)	0.00	0.59		
06:00 pm Aug 21 2001	72.2	32.6	27.4	1.5	SW 🛪 (208)	0.00	0.59		



Figure 1. Locations of Olympic venues (numbers 1-10) and the sports at those venues (icons). Opening and Closing Ceremonies (11), Medals Plaza (12), and the Salt Lake City International Airport (13) are shown as well as icons for locations of Park and Ride sites. Outdoor venues are Snowbasin Ski Area (2); Utah Olympic Park (6); Park City Mountain Resort (7); Deer Valley Resort (8); and Soldier Hollow (9). Figure courtesy of the Salt Lake City Corporation.




Figure 2. Local hazardous weather that often occurs in preferred geographic areas includes: ice fog in the lowest elevations of the Salt Lake Valley (green shading), which affects aviation at the SLC Airport (green dot) and surface travel near the southern intersection of I-215 and I-15 (green dot); lake-effect snowbands, which tend to form downstream of the Great Salt Lake parallel to the direction of the prevailing wind flow (pink arrows); downslope wind storms (red shading) to the west of the Wasatch Mountains, including near Olympic Stadium (red dot); blizzard conditions over mountain passes (double red lines); and avalanches along major roadways (double blue lines). Terrain above 2000 m is shaded and icons denote the locations of indoor and outdoor venues.




Figure 3. Data flows to the Weather Operations Center (WOC) via AWIPS broadcast, from the outdoor venues, and from CIRP. NWS Western Region broadcasts weather products using FX-Net to the outdoor venues and to the ASOC. Routine NWS and special Weather Operations Center products are distributed to many users. Products sent to the SLOC Central Repository System are made available to the general public via the MSNBC web page and to SLOC staff, officials, athletes, and accredited media via Info 2002.




Figure 4. Locations of the weather stations (red 3-letter MesoWest identifiers) in the vicinity of the competition areas at the outdoor venues: (a) Snowbasin Ski Area; (b) Utah Olympic Park; (c) Park City Mountain Resort; (d) Deer Valley Resort; and (e) Soldier Hollow. A total of 29 weather stations are deployed at the outdoor venues; some lie outside the competition areas. Photos by D. Quinney and venue maps courtesy of the Salt Lake Olympic Committee.




Figure 5. Locations (red triangles) of 278 weather stations in the vicinity of Salt Lake City that are available for the 2002 Winter Olympic Games. Blue squares denote weather stations that collect weather information from other remote weather stations by radio and which are maintained as part of SnowNet by CIRP. Yellow circles denote locations of Air Force TMOS stations. Successively darker shading denotes higher terrain.




Figure 6. Example IWFS forecast of 10 m wind (vectors), 40 m temperature (contours, <sup>o</sup>C), and 1 h precipitation totals (mm; according to the scale in the right margin of (a)) from the IWFS on 3 nested grids: a) 36 km; (b) 12 km; and (c) 4km. The graphics are valid at 300 UTC, 21 August 2001 and the forecast was initialized at 1200 UTC, 20 August 2001.