



Final Report 2013 Uinta Basin Winter Ozone Study

Prepared for:
Brock LeBaron
Utah Division of Air Quality
1950 West 150 North
Salt Lake City, UT 84116

Edited by:
Till Stoeckenius
ENVIRON International Corporation
and
Dennis McNally
Alpine Geophysics

ENVIRON International Corporation
773 San Marin Drive, Suite 2115
Novato, California, 94998
www.environcorp.com
P-415-899-0700
F-415-899-0707

March 2014



This page left intentionally blank.

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	ES-1
1.0 PREFACE, CONTRIBUTORS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	1-1
1.1 Preface.....	1-1
1.2 Contributors.....	1-1
1.3 Acknowledgements: Funding Agencies.....	1-2
2.0 SYNTHESIS OF RESULTS.....	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Part A: Physical Characteristics and Meteorology.....	2-6
2.3 Part B: Atmospheric Chemistry of Ozone Formation.....	2-22
2.4 Part C: Sources of Ozone Precursor Emissions.....	2-30
2.5 Part D: Mitigation Strategies.....	2-36
2.6 Part E: Additional Information Needs and Modeling Issues.....	2-39
2.7 References.....	2-54
3.0 DISTRIBUTED MEASUREMENTS OF AIR QUALITY AND METEOROLOGY.....	3-1
3.1 Spatial, Seasonal, and Inter-annual Aspects of Wintertime Ozone.....	3-1
3.1.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.1.2 Methods.....	3-1
3.1.3 Results and Discussion.....	3-4
3.1.4 Summary.....	3-34
3.1.5 Acknowledgements.....	3-35
3.2 Observations and Numerical Modeling of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer in the Uintah Basin.....	3-35
3.2.1 Introduction.....	3-35
3.2.2 Methods.....	3-36
3.2.3 Results and Discussion.....	3-40
3.2.4 Summary.....	3-47
3.3 Use of the CALMET Diagnostic Model to Simulate Winter Inversions.....	3-48
3.3.1 Introduction.....	3-48
3.3.2 Methods.....	3-48
3.3.3 Results and Discussion.....	3-50
3.3.4 Acknowledgements.....	3-57

3.4	References	3-57
4.0	ANALYSIS OF AIRCRAFT OBSERVATIONS.....	4-1
4.1	Introduction and Methodology	4-1
4.2	Spatial Distribution of CH ₄ , CO, CO ₂ , and NO ₂	4-3
4.3	Spatial Distribution of Ozone and Relationship to Other Constituents	4-10
4.3.1	Distribution of Ozone over the Uinta Basin	4-10
4.3.2	Relationship of Ozone to Other Constituents Measured on the Aircraft.....	4-11
4.4	Vertical Distribution of Ozone and Other Constituents.....	4-15
4.4.1	Mixing within the Inversion Layer	4-15
4.4.2	Ozone Vertical Structure	4-20
4.4.3	Evidence of Bonanza Power Plant Plume in Vertical Profiles	4-21
4.5	Flask Samples from Aircraft.....	4-22
4.5.1	Comparison of Flask Sample Measurements in 2013 and 2012	4-23
4.6	Conclusions	4-25
4.7	References	4-26
5.0	INTENSIVE CHEMICAL MEASUREMENTS AT HORSE POOL.....	5-1
5.1	Introduction and Background.....	5-1
5.2	Site Configuration and Experimental Approach	5-1
5.2.1	NO/ NO ₂ / NO _y /O ₃ /N O ₃ /N ₂ O ₅ by Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy	5-2
5.2.2	O ₃ and Winds by Lidar.....	5-3
5.2.3	CH ₄ , CO ₂ , H ₂ S by Integrated Cavity Output Spectroscopy	5-4
5.2.4	SO ₂ by UV Fluorescence	5-4
5.2.5	Acyl Peroxynitrates/Nitryl Chloride	5-5
5.2.6	VOCs by PTRMS.....	5-5
5.2.7	C ₂ -C ₁₀ HCs by GC-FID	5-6
5.2.8	Carbonyls Measured by DNPH Cartridges.....	5-6
5.2.9	Acids by NI-PT-CIMS.....	5-7
5.2.10	Aerosol Particle and Snow Measurements	5-8
5.2.11	Ammonia Measurements.....	5-9
5.2.12	Mobile Lab Measurements from 2012	5-10
5.2.13	Meteorological Measurements	5-11
5.3	Results and Discussion	5-11
5.3.1	Ozone and Odd-Nitrogen Species.....	5-12

5.3.2	VOCs by GC-FID and PTRMS	5-13
5.3.3	Formaldehyde	5-14
5.3.4	Comparison of PTR-TOF-MS data and PTR-MS results	5-15
5.3.5	Carbonyls measured by DNPH Cartridges	5-15
5.3.6	PANs and Nitryl Chloride	5-16
5.3.7	Acids by NI-PT-CIMS	5-18
5.3.8	Radical Source Calculations	5-22
5.3.9	Lidar Measurements	5-24
5.3.10	Ammonia Measurements	5-31
5.3.11	Aerosol Particle and Snow Measurements	5-32
5.3.12	Meteorological Measurements	5-33
5.3.13	Further Analysis of the 2012 Mobile Lab VOC Measurements	5-33
5.4	References	5-35
6.0	BALLOON-BORNE VERTICAL PROFILES OF OZONE, METHANE, NON-METHANE HYDROCARBONS, NITROGEN OXIDES AND METEOROLOGICAL PARAMETERS	6-1
6.1	Objective	6-1
6.2	Experimental Methods and Instrumentation	6-1
6.2.1	Study Site and Duration	6-1
6.2.2	Experimental Set-Up	6-1
6.2.3	Instrumentation and Procedures	6-3
6.3	Results	6-4
6.3.1	Ozone	6-4
6.3.2	Methane	6-7
6.3.3	Nitrogen Oxides	6-9
6.3.4	Non-Methane Hydrocarbons	6-11
6.3.5	Snowpack Air Ozone and NMHC Measurements	6-14
6.3.6	Meteorological Parameters	6-17
6.4	References	6-19
7.0	OZONE DEPOSITION VELOCITY DURING SNOW-COVERED AND NON-SNOW-COVERED PERIODS BY EDDY COVARIANCE	7-1
7.1	Objective	7-1
7.2	Experimental Methods and Instrumentation	7-1
7.2.1	Study Site and Duration	7-1
7.2.2	Experimental Set-Up and Instrumentation	7-1

7.3	Results and Discussion	7-2
7.3.1	Snow-Covered Period.....	7-2
7.3.2	Snow Free Period	7-3
7.4	References	7-5
8.0	TETHERED OZONESONDE AND SURFACE OZONE MEASUREMENTS IN THE UINTA BASIN, WINTER 2013	8-1
8.1	Introductions.....	8-1
8.1.1	Ozonesonde Measurements in the Uinta Basin	8-2
8.1.2	Ozonesonde Instruments	8-6
8.1.3	Tethered Ozonesonde (Tethersonde) Measurements	8-8
8.2	Uinta Basin 2013 Surface Ozone Concentrations	8-10
8.2.1	Surface and Tethersonde Measurements at Three Sites.....	8-10
8.2.2	Diurnal Ozone Regression and Production during an Ozone Event	8-14
8.2.3	Free Flying Ozonesonde Profiles.....	8-28
8.2.4	Mobile Surface Ozone Measurements	8-28
8.2.5	Contour Plots of Ozone Structure during Ozone Production and Cleanout Events	8-32
8.2.6	Ozone Mixing Out of the Boundary Layer.....	8-36
8.2.7	Contours of Two Ozone Production Events and the Intervening Cleanout.	8-36
8.3	Did the Bonanza Power Plant Contribute to Ozone Precursors in Winter 2013?	8-37
8.3.1	Aircraft Measurements in the Bonanza Power Plant Plume.....	8-37
8.3.2	Horsepool Tethersonde Measurements of the Bonanza Power Plant Plume.....	8-39
8.3.3	Tunable Optical Profiler for Aerosol and Ozone (TOPAZ) Lidar Measurements from Horsepool	8-42
8.3.4	Physical Boundaries to Ozone Production and Precursors in the Uinta Basin	8-43
8.4	Conclusions	8-45
8.5	Outreach and Education in 2012:	8-46
8.6	Acknowledgements.....	8-48
8.7	References	8-48
9.0	EMISSION INVENTORY DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES.....	9-1
9.1	Uinta Basin Emissions Inventory.....	9-1

9.1.1 Introduction9-1

9.1.2 WRAP Phase III – the Baseline Oil and Gas Inventory9-1

9.1.3 Utah Division of Air Quality Oil and Gas Inventory for 20119-2

9.1.4 BLM Utah Air Resource Management Strategy (ARMS)9-5

9.1.5 Inventory Improvement Projects Scheduled for 20149-6

9.2 Wintertime Emissions of Hydrocarbons from Produced Water Evaporation Facilities9-7

9.2.1 Introduction9-7

9.2.2 Methods9-9

9.2.3 Results and Discussion9-12

9.2.4 Summary.....9-17

9.2.5 Acknowledgements.....9-17

9.2.6 References9-17

10.0 NUMERICAL MODELING OF A THERMAL INVERSION IN THE UINTA BASIN, UTAH, JANUARY 26-30 2013 10-1

10.1 References 10-5

TABLES

Table 2-1. UBOS 2013 Study Components, associated research groups and the section of this report in which the final report(s) for the Study Component can be found.2-4

Table 2-2. UDAQ 2011 Emissions Inventory (tons/year) based on the Utah NEI submittal and updated WRAP Phase III inventory (Source: Sec. 9, Table 9-2). 2-33

Table 3-1. Air quality monitoring stations that operated during winter 2012-13.3-2

Table 3-2. Statistics for 8-hour average ozone concentrations at sites around the Uintah Basin and at select sites in Utah and the intermountain West from November 2012 through March 2013.3-5

Table 3-3. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) for relationships between ozone and spatial parameters. “N.S.” Indicates that the correlation was not significant ($\alpha = 0.05$). 3-11

Table 3-4. NMHC concentrations and MIR for six sites in the Uintah Basin on 6 February. 3-17

Table 3-5. Pearson correlations (r) for average NMHC concentrations for 1-8 February at the six sites shown in Table 3-4. “N.S.” Indicates the correlation was not significant ($\alpha = 0.10$). 3-18

Table 3-6. Pearson correlations (r) of average NO_x during different periods with ozone and spatial metrics. “N.S.” Indicates that the correlation was not significant ($\alpha = 0.10$). 3-25

Table 3-7. Ozone summary statistics for five sites in the Uintah Basin over five calendar years. The Vernal, Roosevelt, and Rangely sites are regulatory; Ouray and Red Wash are not. All values shown were calculated from daily maximum 8-hour average concentrations..... 3-34

Table 3-8. University of Utah targeted meteorological observations location and dates. 3-38

Table 3-9. Occurrence of low clouds in MODIS using AQUA satellite imagery data retrieved at mid-afternoon during UBWOS..... 3-45

Table 3-10. Stations from which data were used in the CALMET model. 3-49

Table 3-11. Vertical layers utilized in the CALMET model. 3-50

Table 3-12. Slope, R² value, and percent deviation for the relationship between measured and modeled wind speed, wind direction, and temperature at the Dragon Road and Sand Wash sites. Percent deviation rows show values ± 95% confidence intervals. 3-55

Table 5-1. Chemical measurements made during the 2013 Horse Pool Intensive Study..... 5-40

Table 5-2. Meteorological measurements made during the 2013 Horse Pool Intensive Study..... 5-41

Table 5-3. High Resolution Doppler Lidar technical specifications. 5-41

Table 5-4. AMoN ambient ammonia concentrations from areas near the Uinta Basin (NADP, 2013b). 5-41

Table 6-1. Average values for C2-C5 alkanes observed in 2012 and 2013 in comparison to regional background for 40°N in February as determined by the NOAA-INSTAAR Global Flask Network..... 6-12

Table 8-1. ECC (electrochemical concentration cell) Ozonesonde. 8-6

Table 8-2. Uinta Basin primary tethered ozonesonde site locations, number of profiles measured and maximum ozone mixing ratios measured during Jan and Feb, 2012 and 2013. 8-7

Table 9-1. Production counts for oil, natural gas, produced water, gas well condensate, producing wells, and spuds for the years 2006 and 2011. (Harper⁴). 9-3

Table 9-2. UDAQ 2011 Emissions Inventory based on the Utah NEI submittal and updated WRAP Phase III inventory. 9-4

Table 9-3. Average concentrations of select organic compounds in, and chemical properties of, three produced water surface types encountered during the winter 2012-13 sampling season. N.D. means not detected. 9-13

Table 9-4. Estimated emission rate of organic compounds from all produced water facilities in the Uinta Basin, categorized by pond surface type. TNMHC is total nonmethane hydrocarbons and is the sum of alkanes, alkenes, and aromatics. 9-16

Table 9-5. Wintertime produced water emissions in the Uinta Basin compared to total anthropogenic emissions from all sources (Table 9-2). TNMHC is total nonmethane hydrocarbons and is the sum of alkanes, alkenes, and aromatics..... 9-16

FIGURES

Figure 2-1. Uinta Basin and surrounding region..... 2-1

Figure 2-2. Oil and gas well sites in Utah as of 2010. 2-2

Figure 2-3. Comparison of maximum daily 8-hr average ozone mixing ratio (MDA8) in ppb (blue), smoothed temperature (green) and wind speed (orange), and daily snow depth (black dots) from the EPA monitoring site at Ouray, Uinta Basin for January – March: 2012 (top) and 2013 (bottom); horizontal blue line represents 75 ppb ozone; horizontal green line represents 0 deg. C (Section 8)..... 2-9

Figure 2-4. Recent annual drilling and production activity trend in the Uinta Basin relative to 2009 (note 2013 spud counts [indicating number of wells where drilling was started] are extrapolated based on January – October data; 2013 production statistics are extrapolated based on January – June data; all data from the Utah Dept. of Natural Resources <http://oilgas.ogm.utah.gov>). 2-11

Figure 2-5. Monthly maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations during the winter ozone season at monitoring sites in the Upper Green River Basin of southwestern Wyoming. 2-13

Figure 2-6. Daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations at locations outside of the Uinta Basin and the maximum concentration over sites within the Basin during each January – March 2013 ozone episode. 2-15

Figure 2-7. Uinta and Upper Green River basins. 2-18

Figure 2-8. Annual oil and gas production in the Uinta Basin (Duchesne and Uintah counties; Utah DOGM, 2013) and the Upper Green River Basin (Sublette County; WOGCC, 2013). 2-19

Figure 2-9. Contour plot of ozone concentrations above the Ouray Wildlife Refuge January 24 - February 7 based on thethersonde data (see Section 8). 2-21

Figure 2-10. Mean mixing ratios collected during 15 January – 29 February, 2012 at Horsepool and during May – June 2010 at Pasadena, CA (Source: 2012SR)..... 2-24

Figure 2-11. Fractional increase in all measured VOCs at Horsepool from 2012 to 2013 (see Section 5). 2-25

Figure 2-12. Iso-pentane to n-pentane ratios from data collected during 15 January – 29 February 2012 at Horsepool, during 21 – 25 February 2011 at Red Wash, during 2011 in Weld County, CO, and during May-June 2010 in Pasadena, CA (source: 2012SR). 2-25

Figure 2-13. Diurnal variations of a species that is apparently HONO in 2012 and 2013 at Horsepool (Source: Sec. 5). 2-28

Figure 2-14. Summaries of the radical sources found during (a) CalNex2010, (b) UBOS 2012, and (c) UBOS 2013. Note that the CalNex and UBOS 2012 results are on the same scale, HONO (HCHO) is shown in light blue (black) in (b) and (c) but in medium blue and gray (yellow) in (a) and the areas of the pie charts are scaled to the total of the radical sources (Source: Sec. 5)..... 2-29

Figure 2-15. Locations of oil and gas related emissions sources in the Uinta Basin (the location of the Bonanza power plant is also shown). 2-31

Figure 2-16. Summary of emissions by source category. (Source: Sec. 9, Table 9-2). 2-33

Figure 2-17. Bonanza power plant with buoyant exhaust plume and water vapor from the cooling ponds during a winter temperature inversion on 2 February 2013. The top of the stack is 1715.8 m and the plume generally rose an additional 2 to 3 stack heights before leveling out in the 1900 to 2200 m range; the inversion base was generally between 1600 and 1750 m (photographed by Colm Sweeney, CIRES/NOAA). 2-34

Figure 2-18. Profiles of 20-min wind speed and direction at Horsepool for 0000-1200 UTC on 3 February 2012, showing strong northeasterly flow at 500 m above ground, extending down to the surface (arrows). Wind barbs indicate direction from which winds were blowing, and color coding of barbs indicates wind speed as shown on color bar. Horizontal axis is time (UTC, which is 7 hr ahead of MST), and vertical axis is height above ground (m). 2-43

Figure 2-19. Profiles of 20-min wind speed and direction at Horsepool for 1200 UTC, 4 Feb to 0000 UTC, 5 Feb 2012, showing diurnal cycle of winds below 500 m. Dotted black curve is clear-sky solar flux, indicating time of day. Wind barbs and axes as in Figure 2-18. 2-44

Figure 2-20. Mean day and night wind vectors at 14 meteorological stations around the Uinta Basin in February 2012. (Source: SR2012). 2-44

Figure 2-21. Daily maximum ozone concentrations and afternoon composite wind vectors from surface sites on 28 January 2013 during a stormy period between inversion episodes. Arrows indicate wind direction. The black line on the ozone color scale indicates 75 ppb..... 2-45

Figure 2-22. Daily maximum ozone concentrations and afternoon composite wind vectors from surface sites on 6 February 2013 during an inversion episode. 2-46

Figure 2-23. Daily maximum ozone concentrations and afternoon composite wind vectors from surface sites on 8 February 2013. 2-47

Figure 2-24. Ceilometer aerosol backscatter on 24 January 2013 at Roosevelt, UT. Higher (lower) backscatter implying higher (lower) aerosol concentration denoted by warm (cool) colors. 2-48

Figure 2-25. Contour plot showing the complete record of ozone measurements acquired from the ECC tethersondes launched by the CU-INSTAAR group at Horsepool between 26 January and 18 February, 2013. 2-49

Figure 2-26. Observed (solid) and simulated (dash) temperature vertical profiles at Ouray (blue) and Horsepool (red) at 15 MST on Jan 26, 2013. 2-51

Figure 3-1. Time series of 8-hour average ozone concentrations at all monitoring sites in the Uintah Basin, winter 2012-13. EPA NAAQS of 75 ppb is shown as a red dashed line..... 3-6

Figure 3-2. Time series of average snow depth from five stations in the Uintah Basin, pseudo-lapse rate for the Basin, 8-hour average ozone at Ouray, and average total daytime UV-A and UV-B radiation (average during daytime hours of the sum of upwelling and downwelling UV-A and UV-B) at Horsepool, winter 2012-13. The pseudo-lapse rate was derived from the change in temperature with elevation at surface meteorological stations in the Basin. The dashed black line indicates a lapse rate of zero and an ozone concentration of 75 ppb. A more negative lapse rate indicates a stronger inversion. 3-7

Figure 3-3. Fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations for all sites in the Uintah Basin, winter 2012-13. The black line on the color scale indicates 75 ppb..... 3-8

Figure 3-4. Maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations for all sites in the Uintah Basin, 26 January. 3-8

Figure 3-5. Maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations for all sites in the Uintah Basin, 1 March. 3-9

Figure 3-6. Relationship between fourth highest daily maximum 8-hr average ozone concentration for winter 2012-13 and average elevation within 10 km of a monitoring station (in blue) and number of producing oil and gas wells within 10 km of a monitoring station (in red). Linear regression lines and Pearson R^2 values are also shown..... 3-10

Figure 3-7. Producing oil and gas wells in and around the Uintah Basin. Wells were considered “producing” if they reported oil or gas production during February 2013. 3-13

Figure 3-8. Sum of all alkanes measured on 1 February (the start of an inversion episode) at six sites around the Uintah Basin..... 3-14

Figure 3-9. Sum of all alkanes measured on 6 February (day of highest ozone during the inversion episode noted in previous figure) at six sites around the Uintah Basin..... 3-14

Figure 3-10. Sum of all aromatics measured on 6 February (day of highest ozone during an inversion episode) at six sites around the Uintah Basin..... 3-15

Figure 3-11. Sum of all alkenes measured on 6 February (day of highest ozone during an inversion episode) at six sites around the Uintah Basin..... 3-15

Figure 3-12. Methanol measured on 6 February (day of highest ozone during an inversion episode) at five sites around the Uintah Basin..... 3-16

Figure 3-13. Relationship between 1-8 February average total NMHC concentration and average elevation within 25 km of monitoring stations (in blue) and number of producing oil and gas wells within 15 km of monitoring

stations (in red). Linear regression lines and Pearson R^2 values are also shown. 3-18

Figure 3-14. Relationship between 1-8 February average total NMHC concentration and fourth highest 8-hour ozone concentration at study sites. A linear regression line and Pearson R^2 value is also shown..... 3-19

Figure 3-15. Average NO_x at ten sites during an inversion episode that occurred 20-26 January..... 3-20

Figure 3-16. Average NO_x at twelve sites during an inversion episode that occurred 30 January through 5 February. NO_x concentrations shown for Wells Draw and Seven Sisters are derived from passive Radiello samplers..... 3-20

Figure 3-17. Average NO_x at ten sites during an inversion episode that occurred 1-3 March..... 3-21

Figure 3-18. Comparison of NO measured by a photolytic NO_x analyzer (USU) to a molybdenum converter-based NO_x analyzer (CU). The linear regression curve, R^2 value, and slope of the relationship are also shown. 23-2

Figure 3-19. Comparison of NO_x measured by a photolytic NO_x analyzer (USU) with a molybdenum converter-based NO_x analyzer (CU). The linear regression curve, R^2 value, and slope of the relationship are also shown. 3-22

Figure 3-20. Comparison of NO_y (USU) with NO_x measured by a molybdenum converter-based NO_x analyzer (CU). The linear regression curve, R^2 value, and slope of the relationship are also shown. 3-23

Figure 3-21. Diurnal change in NO_x concentrations at 10 sites around the Uintah Basin during the 20-26 January inversion episode. 3-24

Figure 3-22. Relationship between 31 January through 5 February molybdenum converter-based NO_x concentration (contains some NO_y) and fourth highest 8-hour ozone concentration at 12 study sites. A linear regression line and Pearson R^2 value are also shown..... 3-25

Figure 3 -3. Daily maximum ozone concentrations and wind vectors from surface sites on 28 January during a stormy period between inversion episodes. Arrows indicate wind direction. The black line on the ozone color scale indicates 75 ppb..... 3-26

Figure 3-24. Daily maximum ozone concentrations and wind vectors from surface sites on 6 February during an inversion episode. 3-27

Figure 3-25. Daily maximum ozone concentrations and wind vectors from surface sites on 8 February. 3-28

Figure 3-26. Diurnal average concentrations of ozone and precursors at Horsepool, 20-26 January..... 3-29

Figure 3-27. Diurnal average concentrations of ozone and precursors at Horsepool, 4-6 February..... 3-29

Figure 3-28. Diurnal average concentrations of ozone and precursors at Horsepool, 1-3 March..... 3-30

Figure 3-29. Slope of the linear regression relationship between O_3 and NO_2 at Horsepool, January-March. Only days with maximum ozone greater than 90 ppb are shown..... 3-31

Figure 3-30. Ratio of ozone to NO_y at Horsepool, January-March. Only days with maximum ozone greater than 90 ppb are shown..... 3-32

Figure 3-31. Time series of daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentration at five sites in the Uintah Basin, July 2009-March 2013. The red dashed line shows 75 ppb, the EPA NAAQS for ozone..... 3-33

Figure 3-32. Location of key targeted University of Utah meteorological instrumentation sites and mobile transect route. All Mesowest stations available during the UBWOS are shown by black dots. 3-37

Figure 3-33. Instruments used during targeted meteorological observations in the Uintah Basin. (A) Graw DFM-06 radiosondes, (b), Viasala CL-31 ceilometer, (c) Meteorological and ozone mobile unit..... 3-38

Figure 3-34. Ceilometer aerosol backscatter on 24 January 2013 at Roosevelt, UT. Higher backscatter denoted by warm colors and lower aerosol concentrations indicated by cool colors..... 3-41

Figure 3-35. Aerosol backscatter between 19 January 2013 and 17 February 2013 at Roosevelt (top), depth of the dominant aerosol layer (middle) and median backscatter values for region below the calculated aerosol depth (bottom)..... 3-42

Figure 3-36. Skew-T plot showing temperature, dew point temperature, and wind speed and direction for 2 and 4 February 2013 at 1800 UTC..... 3-43

Figure 3-37. 775 hPa level wind speeds (shaded and barbs) and direction for WRF numerical simulations during the 30 January-10 February 2013 persistent cold air pool in the Uintah Basin. 3-44

Figure 3-38. Afternoon MODIS satellite imagery showing snow (blue) and clouds (white) during 1, 2, and 7 February 2013. 3-45

Figure 3-39. 3D elevation map of the CALMET modeling domain. Approximate locations of Vernal, Price, and Grand Junction are shown..... 3-50

Figure 3-40. Ground-level (10 m) wind vectors at 3:00, 6 February. 3-51

Figure 3-41. Ground-level (10 m) wind vectors at 9:00, 6 February. 3-52

Figure 3-42. Ground-level (10 m) wind vectors at 15:00, 6 February. 3-53

Figure 3-43. Ground-level (10 m) wind vectors at 21:00, 6 February. 3-54

Figure 3-44. Surface temperature contour map for 10:00, 7 February. 3-56

Figure 3-45. Measured and modeled vertical temperature profile for 12:00 to 15:00, 6 February at Pariette Draw..... 3-57

Figure 4-1. Photographs of the Cessna 210 aircraft that sampled over the Uinta Basin in February 2013. (a) Three inlets and two temperature and humidity probes were installed under the starboard wing. (b) View of the snow covered Uinta Basin from the aircraft..... 4-6

Figure 4-2. Flight tracks over the Uinta Basin on February 2, 2013 colored by O_3 mole fraction in parts per billion (ppb). Data from all altitudes is shown

overlain on topography of the Uinta Basin. The 1600 m elevation is at the boundary between the purple and turquoise color band.....4-6

Figure 4-3. Map of the flight track on February 2, 2013 over the Uinta Basin colored by (a,c) CH₄ and (b,d) CO. Figures (a) and (b) show the full track, while (c) and (d) show the flight track portions below 1650 masl only. Locations of oil and gas wells are shown as purple and gray dots, respectively.4-8

Figure 4-4. Spatial analysis of trace gas dry air mole fraction correlations during the February 2, 2013 flight. (a) Map of the flight track below the inversion (1650 masl) colored by quadrant (red: SE, blue: NE, yellow: NW, green: SW). The location of Horsepool is noted with a black circle; the Bonanza power plant is a black triangle; gas wells are in gray; oil wells are in purple. (b) Correlation plot of CO with CO₂ in the four quadrants. Black dotted line shows a molar ratio of 12 ppb CO per ppm of CO₂, dashed line is 10 ppb per ppm, and solid line is 6 ppb/ppm. (c) Correlation plot of CO with CH₄, indicating more CO emission per unit CH₄ in the SW quadrant, and more CH₄ per unit CO in the SE. (d) Correlations of CH₄ with O₃ show less spatial separation, supporting the observation that O₃ is observed more uniformly through the region.4-9

Figure 4-5. Correlations of CO with CH₄ over the different quadrants of the basin for the seven flights, with the flight date indicated in each panel (YYYYMMDD).....4-10

Figure 4-6. Flight tracks from (a) February 4 and (b) February 18, 2012 showing elevated CH₄ over the gas wells (gray dots) during low-wind conditions, in the same region that CH₄ was enhanced in the 2013 flights, with lower enhancements in the western portion of the basin over the oil wells (purple dots).4-11

Figure 4-7. Relationship between NO₂ and CO measurements from the aircraft for different quadrants in the basin (date of flight indicated in each panel, YYYYMMDD). NO₂ is more enhanced in the SE, while CO is enhanced in the SW.....4-12

Figure 4-8. Relationship between NO₂ and CH₄ measurements from the aircraft for different quadrants in the basin (date of flight indicated in each panel, YYYYMMDD). NO₂ and CH₄ are both enhanced in the SE quadrant (red points), but not always well-correlated.....4-13

Figure 4-9. Map of flight tracks below 1650 masl on January 31, February 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6, 2013 over the Uinta Basin colored by O₃ mixing ratio in ppb. The flight date (YYYYMMDD) is indicated in the title of each panel. Oil wells are indicated by purple points, gas wells by dark gray points.4-15

Figure 4-10. Relationship between O₃ and CH₄ measurements from the aircraft for different quadrants in the basin (date of flight indicated in each panel, YYYYMMDD). CH₄ is highest in the SE quadrant (red points), but is not well-correlated with O₃ for CH₄ above ~8 ppm.4-16

Figure 4-11. Relationship between O₃ and CO measurements from the aircraft for different quadrants in the basin (date of flight indicated in each panel, YYYYMMDD). CO is highest in the SW quadrant (green points), but is not well-correlated with O₃ for CO above 400 ppb. 4-17

Figure 4-12. Relationship between O₃ and NO₂ measurements from the aircraft for different quadrants in the basin (date of flight indicated in each panel, YYYYMMDD). NO₂ is consistently highest in the SE quadrant (red points), but is not well-correlated with ozone. 4-18

Figure 4-13. Profiles of constituents measured on the aircraft on January 31 in the vicinity of the natural gas field and gas processing plants. Note the enhancements of all species beneath the temperature inversion observed at 1600 masl. 4-20

Figure 4-14. (a) Time series of flight on February 1, 2013, with the profiles highlighted. (b) Corresponding locations of the profiles in the Uinta Basin, shown along with the oil (purple) and gas (gray) well locations. The Bonanza Power Plant is located at the black triangle and Horsepool at the black dot. 4-21

Figure 4-15. Four vertical profiles of CH₄ (black), CO (red), CO₂ (blue), O₃ (green), NO₂ (purple), and temperature (gray dashed) on February 1, 2013. Profile times and locations are indicated in Figure 4 14. 4-21

Figure 4-16. (a) Time series of flight on February 2 2013, with the vertical profiles highlighted. (b) Corresponding locations of the profiles in the Uinta Basin, shown along with the oil (purple) and gas (gray) well locations. The Bonanza Power Plant is located at the black triangle close to the blue numeral 1. Profile 4 is located over the ground site in Horsepool. 4-22

Figure 4-17. Four vertical profiles of CH₄ (black), CO (red), CO₂ (blue), O₃ (green), NO₂ (purple), and temperature (gray dashed) on February 2, 2013. Profile times and locations are indicated in Figure 4 16. 4-22

Figure 4-18. (a) Time series of flight on February 5 2013, with the profiles highlighted. (b) Corresponding locations of the profiles, shown along with the oil (purple) and gas (gray) well locations. The Bonanza Power Plant is located at the black triangle. Profile 1 was conducted near the Horsepool site. 4-23

Figure 4-19. Four vertical profiles of CH₄ (black), CO (red), CO₂ (blue), O₃ (green), NO₂ (purple), and temperature (gray dashed) from the flight on February 5, 2013. Profile times and locations are indicated in Figure 4 18. 4-23

Figure 4-20. Photograph taken from the aircraft of the Bonanza Power Plant and its plume rising above the inversion layer on February 2, 2013. 4-26

Figure 4-21. Location of flask samples collected in 2012 (red) and 2013 (blue) over the Uinta Basin. Gas wells are indicated in light blue and oil wells in green..... 4-27

Figure 4-22. Measurements of light hydrocarbons (first five panels) and CO (bottom right) plotted against CH₄ mole fraction from air samples

	collected in flasks over the Uinta Basin in 2013 (blue, red, green or yellow based on quadrant) and 2012 (gray). All data from NOAA/GMD.....	4-28
Figure 4-23.	Measurements of heavier hydrocarbons in flasks collected aboard the aircraft, January 31 - February 7, 2013, colored by quadrant (CH ₄ from NOAA/GMD and NMHC from CU INSTAAR).	4-29
Figure 5-1.	A picture of the UBOS 2013 Horse Pool Intensive site facing North. The individual components are (A) sample tower with automated inlet, (B) NOAA/CSD nitrogen species trailer, (C) NOAA/CSD VOC trailer, (D) NOAA/PMEL aerosol and snow lab, (E) NOAA/CSD HRDLS trailer, (F) NOAA/CSD TOPAZ trailer. Photo credit, Scott Sandberg.	5-42
Figure 5-2.	Time-height cross section of wind speed and direction on 30 January for 0000-1200 UTC (29/1700-30/0500 MST), using 20-min vertical profiles from HRDL conical scans. Wind barbs point toward direction from which winds were blowing, and color of barbs indicates wind speeds (m s ⁻¹) as shown in color bar at right. Dashed line (left side of figure) indicates relative solar insolation, declining in late afternoon.	5-43
Figure 5-3.	Truck-mounted TOPAZ ozone lidar with roof-top, two-axis scanner.	5-43
Figure 5-4.	Deployed Ogawa passive sampler without and with rain/wind cap.	5-44
Figure 5-5.	Times series of O ₃ measured at the Horse Pool site by the CaRDS instrument during the UBOS 2013 campaign.	5-44
Figure 5-6.	Diurnal averages of O ₃ during the campaigns in 2012 (blue) and 2013 (red). The average daylight period is shown in yellow.	5-45
Figure 5-7.	Comparison of O ₃ distributions measured in 2012 (blue) versus 2013 (red).	5-45
Figure 5-8a-c.	The distributions of (a) total NO _y , (b) NO _x , and (c) the ratio NO _x / NO _y . The UBOS 2012 data are in blue and the UBOS 2013 data are in red.	5-46
Figure 5-9.	Diurnal plot of NO _x , NO _y and NO _x / NO _y measured during the 2012 campaign.....	5-46
Figure 5-10.	Diurnal plot of NO _x , NO _y and NO _x / NO _y measured during the 2013 campaign.....	5-47
Figure 5-11.	The average diurnal composition of NO _z measured during the UBOS 2012 campaign. NO _x is in black, NO _y is in red and the ratio NO _x / NO _y is in blue.	5-47
Figure 5-12.	The average diurnal composition of NO _z measured during the UBOS 2013 campaign. NO _x is in black, NO _y is in red and the ratio NO _x / NO _y is in blue.	5-48
Figure 5-13.	VOC composition by molar abundance (volume) (A) and mass (B), and OH reactivity (C) measured during UBOS 2013.	5-49
Figure 5-14.	Propane measured as a function of inlet height during the periods of height profiling.	5-50
Figure 5.15.	Comparison between UBOS 2012 (black) and UBOS 2013 (red) of the absolute mixing ratios of compounds with the highest concentrations.	5-51

Figure 5-16. The fractional increase from 2012 to 2013 of all measured VOCs.	5-52
Figure 5-17. Ratios of iso-to n-butane, iso- to n-pentane, and benzene and i-butane to propane, for 2012 and 2013. The black symbols are for 2012 and the red symbols are for 2013, and the error bars are 1s of the measured data.	5-53
Figure 5-18. Time series of total OH reactivity of VOCs (red), methane (blue), carbon monoxide (yellow) and NO ₂ (grey).	5-54
Figure 5-19. The relationship between formaldehyde and methanol for both 2012 (black) and 2013 (red).	5-54
Figure 5-20. Correlations of marker compounds to (a) Formaldehyde, and (b) Benzene.	5-55
Figure 5-21. Time series of PTR-TOF-MS (black) and PTR-MS (red) VOC measurements made during the UBOS 2013 intensive period. The top three measurements (acetone, acetaldehyde, and methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)) are photochemically produced secondary pollutants formed throughout an ozone event. Methanol (bottom panel) is more indicative of a local emitted primary pollutant.	5-56
Figure 5-22. Linear regression plots of the PTR-MS and PTR-TOF VOC data collected during the UBOS 2013 intensive period and shown in Figure 1. These measurement techniques show agreement to within 5% for all of the VOC species quantified by both instruments.	5-57
Figure 5-23. A comparison of PTR-TOF-MS, PTR-MS, and GC-FID measurement of benzene during the UBOS 2013 intensive period. The top two panels show linear regression fits of the PTR-TOF to GC-FID (left) and the PTR-TOF to PTR-MS (right) for the data shown in the time series provided in the bottom panel.....	5-58
Figure 5-24. Box-whisker plots carbonyl compounds as measured at Roosevelt and Vernal (Feb. 13-25, 2013). The “n” indicates the number of times a particular compound was identified (21 total samples from Roosevelt, 14 total from Vernal).	5-59
Figure 5-25. Comparison of average ambient formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, and acetone from Roosevelt, Vernal, Horse Pool-NOAA (Feb. 13-25, 2013). The error bars represent the 95% confidence intervals.	5-60
Figure 5-26. Diurnal, time series plots for formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, and acetone as measured at Roosevelt, Vernal and Horse Pool-NOAA (Feb. 13-25, 2013).	5-61
Figure 5-27. Distributions of PAN measurements for the two UBOS studies along with 3 other ground-based studies.	5-62
Figure 5-28. The correlation of PPN with PAN observed during the UBOS 2013 intensive.....	5-63
Figure 5-29. The correlation of O ₃ with PAN for UBOS 2012 (open black circles), UBOS 2013 (red crosses), TexAQS 2000 (open blue squares) and CalNex 2010 (solid green circles).	5-64

Figure 5-30. Distributions of Cl NO₂ measurements for the two UBOS studies along with 2 other ground-based studies. 5-65

Figure 5-31. Gradients in Cl NO₂ mixing ratio during the inlet profiling experiment. The grey bands denote when the inlet was at the upper height (7.25 m). 5-66

Figure 5-32. Diurnal variations of HCl and HN O₃ in 2012 and 2013 at Horse Pool. 5-67

Figure 5-33. Comparison of the measured concentration of HN O₃, HONO, formic acid and Pyruvic+butyric acid between 2012 and 2013 at Horse Pool. 5-67

Figure 5-34. Diurnal variations of HONO in 2012 and 2013 at Horse Pool. 5-68

Figure 5-35. Measured HONO during the period when the inlet height was switching between 1m and 7.25 m (grey line). The blue line is the 1 minute average HONO, and the green and red points are the 20 minute averaged HONO measured while the inlet was up, or down, respectively. The difference between the HONO measured with the inlet down and the HONO measured with the inlet up interpolated between measurements is shown in the open purple circles with one standard deviation of the down measurement average shown as error bars. 5-68

Figure 5-36. The percent gradient for a ΔH of 6.25m, calculated as a function of eddy diffusivity, K_z, based on an average photolysis rate of 0.0016 sec⁻¹. 5-69

Figure 5-37. Concentration profiles predicted for the range of K_z that corresponded to gradients of ~0 to 15% as shown in Figure 5-36. 5-70

Figure 5-38. The concentration of nitrite in the top layer of snow, versus the concentration of nitrate. 5-71

Figure 5-39. The dependency of equilibrium gas phase HONO (HONO*) with pH value and nitrite concentration in the snow. The contour lines are calculated at 268 K (assuming the presence of a liquid layer), which is a regular value for ambient temperature at noon time at Horse Pool site. The circles in the graph represent the measured snow results near Horse Pool site. The circles are color-coded with measurement time. 5-72

Figure 5-40. Diurnal variations of formic acid and pyruvic+butyric acid in 2012 and 2013 at Horse Pool. 5-72

Figure 5-41. Summaries of the radical sources found during (a) CalNex2010, (b) UBOS 2012, and (c) UBOS 2013. Note that the CalNex and UBOS 2012 results are on the same scale, and the areas of the pie charts are scaled to the total of the radical sources. 5-73

Figure 5-42. Terrain contour map of Uinta Basin region with color scale adjusted to emphasize the nature of the Basin topography. Color scale is adjusted so that elevation of the Horse Pool site (HP: red arrow on map) falls between purple and blue colors (red arrow on color bar). 5-74

Figure 5-43. Time-height cross sections as in Figure 5-2, showing a 24-hr period on 15 Feb 2013. Dark arrows indicate predominant wind direction. 5-75

Figure 5-44. Temperature traces at the Horse Pool site at 2 and 18 m above ground on 30 January, showing temperatures 1-2° warmer at the lower level during the day (gold arrows). 5-75

Figure 5-45. Tethered-balloon profiles of O₃ (left) and potential temperature θ (right) at the Horse Pool site at 1409 MST (2109 UTC) on 14 February. 5-76

Figure 5-46. Tethered-balloon profiles of O₃ (left) and potential temperature θ (right), as in Figure 5-45, at the Horse Pool site (HP: green curves), the Fantasy Canyon site (FC: blue curves), and at the Ouray site (OU: red curves) at approximately 1620 MST (2320 UTC) on 5 February..... 5-76

Figure 5-47. Tethered-balloon profiles of O₃ (left) and potential temperature θ (right), as in Figure 5-45, at the Horse Pool site (HP: green curves), the Fantasy Canyon site (FC: blue curves), and at the Ouray site (OU: red curves) at approximately 1545 MST on 1 February (top panels) and 1620 MST on 5 February (bottom curves). Vertical exchange processes move O₃ higher into inversion layer as episode proceeds (gold arrows), but much variation is seen within days and from day to day. 5-77

Figure 5-48. 24-hr, single-station trajectories starting at the Horse Pool site at ~0000UTC (1700 MST) for 5 atmospheric levels between 0 and 300 m AGL for 30 January (top left), 31 January (top right), and 14 February (bottom)..... 5-78

Figure 5-49. Backward trajectories starting at the Horse Pool site at 0047 UTC on 15 February (1747 MST, 14 February), when a marked O₃ deficit was observed over the site. The highest trajectory (gold) passed directly over the Bonanza Power Plant power plant (BNZ), whereas the lower profiles did not. 5-79

Figure 5-50. Lidar ozone profiles observed at elevations angles of 2°, 10°, and 90°, projected vertically and blended together. The entire profile is shown on the lefthand plot and the middle and righthand plots show successive expansions of the profile. The data were taken on 7 February 2012 during the first UBOS study..... 5-79

Figure 5-51. Normalized PDFs of all UBOS 2012 ozone lidar measurements for three altitude ranges. Mean ozone values for the different altitude bins are indicated in the legend..... 5-80

Figure 5-52. Overview of the TOPAZ ozone profile measurement from the UBOS 2013 study. Ozone profiles are only shown up to 1000 m AGL to better depict the ozone structure in the lowest few hundred meters. 5-81

Figure 5-53. TOPAZ ozone time-height cross section up to 600 m AGL on 17 February 2013. HRDL lidar horizontal wind measurements (shown as colored wind barbs) and ozone observations from two in situ sensors (thick black and red lines) are overlaid. The dashed line indicates solar irradiance (arbitrary scale)..... 5-82

Figure 5-54. 15-min ozone profiles grouped by ozone episode for every day of TOPAZ observations. The profiles were measured when BL ozone peaked in the afternoons. 5-82

Figure 5-55. TOPAZ time-height cross section of ozone from near the surface to 600 m AGL for 17:16 – 19:31 MST on 14 February 2013. The colored line shaped like an inverted “V” represents the ozone measurements from the collocated tether sonde. 5-83

Figure 5-56. Ambient ammonia concentrations at various sites within the Uinta Basin. The error bars represent the range of duplicate measurements at each site. 5-84

Figure 5-57. Contour plot of ambient ammonia concentrations within the Uinta Basin overlaid onto a Google Earth map. 5-84

Figure 5-58. National ammonium ion (NH₄⁺) concentrations for 2011 as observed by the National Trends Network (NADP, 2013a). 5-85

Figure 5-59. Estimated annual NH₃ emissions for the two counties making up Utah’s Uinta Basin (UDAQ, 2013.)..... 5-85

Figure 5-60. A comparison of the PM 2.5 aerosol mass concentrations between 2012 and 2013..... 5-86

Figure 5-61. Mass fractions of the primary sub micrometer aerosol components in 2012 and 2013 (POM-green; (NH₄)₂SO₄ -red; and NH₄N O₃ -blue). 5-87

Figure 5-62. Aerosol number size distributions at ambient relative humidity in 2012 (bottom) and 2013 (top) showing the larger mean diameter in 2013. 5-88

Figure 5-63. Inorganic and organic components in surface (0-3cm) snow measured within 1.3 km of Horse Pool in 2013. Nitrate and nitrite (not shown) concentrations increased in the surface snow with time. The snow fall on February 8 covered the surface snow and reduced the measurable inorganic ion concentrations. 5-89

Figure 5-64. Typical surface sensible heat fluxes at the Horse Pool meteorological site during UBOS 2013. 5-89

Figure 5-65. Upwelling solar radiation measured at Horse Pool during UBOS 2013. 5-90

Figure 5-66. Heat fluxes measured at Horse Pool during UBOS 2013. 5-91

Figure 5-67. Broadband solar albedo at Horse Pool during UBOS 2013. 5-92

Figure 5-68. The downwelling (black) and upwelling (red) solar irradiances measured at Horse Pool during the UBOS 2013 experiment. 5-93

Figure 5-69. An example track close to a gas well, color coded by methane (b), methanol (c), toluene (d) and (e) NO₂. The wind barbs indicate prevailing wind direction. The time series during this period is shown in (a). 5-94

Figure 5-70. The maximum mixing ratios of benzene, methanol and methane observed close to the sources in the Uinta Basin and in Rangely, Colorado in both (a) full scale and (b) small scale. 5-95

Figure 5-71. Average mixing ratios of the VOCs; aromatics, cycloalkanes, methanol and methane) measured near emission sources in the Uinta Basin, averaged for each category of point sources. The error bars are the

standard deviation. All concentrations were normalized to an average wind speed of 2 m/s. 5-96

Figure 6-1. Schematic of the CU-INSTAAR experimental setup during UBOS 2013..... 6-2

Figure 6-2. Record of ECC sonde launches and balloon height..... 6-3

Figure 6-3. Comparison of ozone measured at 2 m height from the 2012 and 2013 UBOS campaigns (note different y-axis scales). 6-5

Figure 6-4. Contour plot showing the complete record of ozone measurements acquired from the ECC tethersondes launched by the CU-INSTAAR group at Horsepool. 6-6

Figure 6-5. Ozone vertical profiles from the ECC tethersonde for four days of the campaign, illustrating an unstable, relatively low ozone period (January 30 and 31) and a high ozone period during an inversion event (February 12 and 13) (please note the different x-axis scales of the graphs). 6-7

Figure 6-6. Time series of methane (purple) and ozone (black) comparing the 2012 and 2013 campaigns. 6-8

Figure 6-7. Correlations of ozone and methane for 2013 (left) and 2012 (right). 6-8

Figure 6-8. Panel A: Diurnal average for methane comparing the 2012 (black) and 2013 (red) campaigns. Panel B: Average (plus or minus one standard deviation) methane vertical distribution for the 2013 campaign. 6-9

Figure 6-9. Time series of NO and NO_x from the 2 m tower comparing the 2012 (black) and 2013 (red) campaigns. 6-10

Figure 6-10. Diurnal averages of NO_x and NO from the 2 m tower comparing the 2012 (black) and 2013 (red) campaigns. 6-10

Figure 6-11. Mean vertical distribution plus standard deviation of NO_x during the 2013 campaign. 6-11

Figure 6-12. Ethane (red) and propane (blue) time series from the 2 m tower overlaid with ozone (black) comparing the 2012 and 2013 campaigns. 6-11

Figure 6-13. Left: Time series of benzene (orange) and toluene (purple) overlaid with ozone (black) for the 2013 campaign. Right: Linear correlation of benzene and ethane for 2013..... 6-12

Figure 6-14. Statistics of the vertical distributions of ethane and propane comparing the 2012 (top) and 2013 (bottom) campaigns. Here, the square marker represents the mean value, the edges of the box represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, the line in the box represents the median value, and the “whiskers” represent the minimum and maximum values. 6-13

Figure 6-15. Examples of two days of ethane vertical profiles contrasting a day with relatively strong vertical mixing (February 12) with a day with a shallow boundary layer and minimal vertical mixing (February 2). 6-14

Figure 6-16. Panel A: Time series of ozone measured from the 2 m tower (black) and from the snowpack inlet (red). Panel B: Time series of select

NMHC ratios from the 2 m tower (black) and from the snowpack inlet (purple). 6-15

Figure 6-17. Correlation plots for iso-butane:n-butane and iso-pentane:n-pentane broken up by inlet height. 6-16

Figure 6-18. Plots of the iso-pentane:n-pentane ratio versus n-pentane and the iso-butane:n-butane ratio versus n-butane for all NMHC data divided by inlet height. 6-17

Figure 6-19. Potential temperature vertical distribution from the tether sonde data. 6-18

Figure 6-20. Pressure record from the tether sonde vertical balloon profiles. 6-18

Figure 7-1. Albedo measured as an indicator of surface snow cover at the Horsepool site during the study period. 7-2

Figure 7-2. Histogram of ozone deposition velocity calculations for the snow-covered period (early February) inclusive of both nighttime and daytime data. Mean and median ozone deposition velocity were -0.002 and 0.0 cm s^{-1} , respectively, with the 2- σ window extending from -0.063 – 0.059 cm s^{-1} 7-3

Figure 7-3. Histogram of ozone deposition velocity calculations for the non-snow-covered period (late March) inclusive of nighttime and daytime data. Mean and median ozone deposition velocities were -0.006 and 0.002 cm s^{-1} , respectively, with the 2- σ window extending from -0.066 – 0.054 cm s^{-1} 7-4

Figure 8-1. The presence of snow throughout the Uinta Basin in 2013 was a controlling factor in the production of ozone in 2013 as discussed in various sections of this report. 8-2

Figure 8-2. An automated, portable NOAA tethered ozonesonde system at the Fantasy Canyon site. The tethered ozonesonde system was set up and in operation within an hour of arriving on site. The complete system is battery operated and can be left alone to conduct profiles to a pre-set altitudes, then return to the surface before repeating the cycle. The system will run for ~4 hours before sonde batteries require changing. *Photo: Patrick Cullis, NOAA/CIRES, February 2013.* 8-3

Figure 8-3. A portable NOAA automated ozonesonde tether installation in operation in the late evening at the Ouray Wildlife Refuge site. This system was operated remotely from within the staff house that also served as an ozonesonde preparation and calibration center. *Photo: Patrick Cullis, NOAA/CIRES, February 2013.* 8-4

Figure 8-4. TEI UV photometric surface ozone analyzer operated full time at the Ouray Wildlife Refuge during the 2012 and 2013 campaigns. An additional unit was operated at the Blue Feather pipe yard in 2013. 8-5

Figure 8-5. TEI versus ozonesonde measurements prior to each tethered ozonesonde profile ascent at the Ouray Wildlife Refuge site in 2013. The TEI reads about 3% lower than the ozonesondes. This is not

considered a significant difference considering the range of ozone concentrations measured.....8-5

Figure 8-6. Map of the Uinta Basin with locations of the oil (red) and gas wells (blue) and the tethersonde sites in 2012 (Ouray, Horsepool, Roosevelt and Jensen) and 2013 (Ouray, Horsepool and Fantasy Canyon). Surface ozone monitors were operated at Blue Feather and Ouray in 2013. There is an EPA ozone monitor at Red Wash that the mobile ozone van passed regularly.8-8

Figure 8-7. Summary plot of the 2012 average ozone mixing ratios and standard deviations measured at all sites during morning (between sunrise and local noon, in blue) and afternoon (noon to sunset, red). Note the absence of any large ozone production in events in 2012 compared to the range of the ozone measured in 2013 presented in Figure 8-8. The data in Figures 8-7 and 8-8 are plotted on the same scales binned at 5 m elevations.....8-9

Figure 8-8. Summary plot of the 2013 average ozone mixing ratio and standard deviations measured at all sites during morning (between sunrise and local noon, in blue) and afternoon (noon to sunset, red). Note the large range of ozone concentrations in 2013 and the large photochemical production of ozone in the afternoons. The data in Figures 8-7 and 8-8 are plotted on the same scales.8-9

Figure 8-9. Surface hourly average ozone concentrations measured at three dispersed sites in the Uinta Basin showing the diurnal production of ozone, the build-up of total ozone during an event and the rapid cleanout of the basin that occurs when air from outside the basin enters and mixes down to the surface.....8-10

Figure 8-10. Ozone and temperature profiles from Ouray (OU) and Horsepool (HP) showing that ozone and temperature profiles were similar at these two site separated by 15.1 km in distance and 139 m in elevation. Note the cold surface temperatures and sharp temperature inversion at 1600 m, but no ozone difference across the inversion.....8-11

Figure 8-11. Ozone and temperature profiles from Ouray (OU), Fantasy Canyon (FC) and Horsepool (HP) showing that ozone began increasing on January 31.....8-11

Figure 8-12. Ozone and temperature profiles from Ouray (OU), Fantasy Canyon (FC) and Horsepool (HP). Fantasy Canyon and Ouray are separated by 23 km in distance and 43 m in elevation with Ouray being lower. Note the build-up of ozone between the surface and 1700 m beneath the top of the temperature inversion layer.....8-12

Figure 8-13. Ozone and temperature profiles from Ouray (OU), Fantasy Canyon (FC) and Horsepool (HP) Feb 3, 2013. The accumulation of ozone was somewhat different now at the three sites with Ouray exhibiting higher concentrations than Fantasy Canyon or Horsepool.....8-12

Figure 8-14. Ozone and temperature profiles from Ouray (OU), Fantasy Canyon (FC) and Horsepool (HP) showing the depth of the ozone layer relative to the height of a 150 foot tall drill rig. This emphasizes how shallow the ozone layer is, especially at Horsepool, which is at a higher elevation than the Ouray or Fantasy Canyon sites. 8-13

Figure 8-15. Ozone and temperature profiles from Ouray (OU), Fantasy Canyon (FC) and Horsepool (HP) near the peak in an ozone event. Note how the ozone production is confined to a shallow surface layer and is greater at Ouray which is at a lower elevation in the basin. 8-13

Figure 8-16. Ozone profiles from the Ouray Wildlife Refuge site (OU) showing that ozone in the 120 ppb range in the noon (11:59) profile decreased during the day to 75 ppb as cleaner air from the west flushed out the stagnant methane and ozone laden air of the previous stagnation event. (Lower) The corresponding potential temperature profiles were constant over this same period with the profile at 2001 showing that mixing of the lower level air was becoming capped in the evening at ~1650 m. 8-15

Figure 8-17. (Upper) Ozone profiles from the Ouray Wildlife Refuge site (OU) showing that as the flushing (cleanout) of the basin progressed, ozone decreased throughout January 28. (Lower) Corresponding potential temperature profiles showed that well-mixed air was entering the basin and that a weak temperature inversion was beginning to develop near the surface in the evening (17:45 profile)..... 8-16

Figure 8-18. (Upper) By January 29 the basin was flushed out and there was no photochemical ozone production as all the profiles were at background levels throughout the day. (Lower) Potential temperatures show that the air mass was becoming slightly more stable with a shallow temperature inversion developing near the surface in the evening. 8-17

Figure 8-19. (Upper) By January 30 ozone precursor emissions were collecting in the basin and photochemical ozone production rose from a low of 30 ppb at sunrise to 78 ppb by 15:49. (Lower) Potential temperatures show that the atmosphere was becoming appreciably more stable with a strong inversion base developing at 1600 m. 8-18

Figure 8-20. (Upper) Ozone production on January 31 was similar to January 30 with no large carryover of ozone from the previous day. (Lower) Potential temperatures decreased and the air remained stable beneath 1650 m. 8-19

Figure 8-21. (Upper) On February 1 ozone production substantially increased over the previous day reaching 100 ppb in the 13:10 profile then decreasing to 60 ppb after sunset. (Lower) The potential temperature plots show that the atmosphere was very stable with the inversion top maintained at just above 1600 m. 8-20

Figure 8-22. (Upper) Ozone did not decrease as much over the night of February 1 as on previous nights and was in the range of 65 ppb in the morning of February 2, rising to 105 ppb by the 17:16 profile. (Lower) The air remained stable with the inversion top rising to 1650 m. 8-21

Figure 8-23. (Upper) On February 3, ozone production was similar as on February 2, reaching 105 ppb in the 15:46 profile then decreasing to 85 ppb by 18:53. (Lower) The air remained relatively stable, but the sharp inversion at 1650 m observed on the prior day has somewhat weakened..... 8-22

Figure 8-24. (Upper) On February 4, ozone production was similar to that on February 3, but the peak of 110 ppb occurred later in the afternoon at 18:39. (Lower) The air column became better mixed and remained more uniform over the day than earlier in the ozone event..... 8-23

Figure 8-25. (Upper) On February 5 ozone was 80+ ppb in mid-morning increasing to 127 ppb by 16:23 mst before beginning to erode at higher altitudes by 18:41. (Lower) The strong inversion at 1660 m observed in the 10:01 profile lost some of its strength as air warmed and mixed during the day. 8-24

Figure 8-26. (Upper) Ozone remained elevated throughout the night of February 5, with the 10:10 profile showing ozone in the 100+ ppb range. Ozone increased to 165 ppb by 13:50 before rapidly decreasing to the 72- 78 ppb range above 15:50 m as clean air moved into the basin from aloft and the west. (Lower) Potential temperature showing the increase in potential temperature at 17:31 as fresh air, now began entering the basin. 8-25

Figure 8-27. (Upper) The profiles for February 6 show the intrusion of cleaner air from aloft from 13:50 to 17:31, then recovery of ozone in the 18:15 profile as ozone rich air sloshes around in the basin. (Lower) Potential temperature remained relatively consistent during the cleanout, but with a strong inversion beginning to develop within a few 10s of meters above the cold, snow covered surface. 8-26

Figure 8-28. (Upper) On February 7 ozone production began again as the prior day’s cleanout of the basin was not complete. (Lower) Potential temperatures increased during the day as ozone production also increased..... 8-27

Figure 8-29. Free flying ozonesonde released from the Ouray Wildlife Refuge when ozone in the boundary layer was in excess of 120 ppb, January 25, 2013. Note the shallow elevated ozone layer near the surface, background ozone concentrations from 3 km to 9.5 km and then stratospheric ozone concentrations exceeding 180 ppb above 10 km. 8-29

Figure 8-30. Free flying ozonesonde released from the Ouray Wildlife Refuge when ozone in the boundary layer was 100 ppb, February 7, 2013. Note the shallow elevated ozone layer near the surface, background

concentrations from 2 km to 11.5 km and then stratospheric ozone concentrations exceeding 180 ppb above that level. 8-29

Figure 8-31. Ozonesondes mounted in the window of a NOAA van used to measure ozone concentrations while driving around the Uinta Basin. This photo was taken during the 2012 study but a similar configuration was used in 2013. 8-30

Figure 8-32. Comparison between the mobile ozonesonde operated on the side of a NOAA van and fixed ozone measurements when the van passed near (up to a mile difference) the Red Wash and Ouray EPA ozone monitors and the NOAA monitor at the Ouray Wildlife Refuge. Considering the timing, difference in distance and the fact the mobile van occasionally operated in excess of 60 mph when passing the fixed sites, the agreement is excellent. 8-30

Figure 8-33. Surface ozone concentrations plotted against altitude on a drive beginning in Vernal then through the eastern portion of the Uinta Basin, February 6, 2013. Note the decrease in ozone (point 3) at 1720 m crossing the ridge near Red Wash, and the large ozone decrease as the van began to ascend through the inversion layer at 1720 m (Point 7) and the increase in ozone as the van descended back into the top of inversion layer after turning around at ~2,000 m (Point 8). 8-31

Figure 8-34. Contour plot of ozone concentrations above the Ouray Wildlife Refuge in the first ozone event presented in Figure 8-9. Note that high concentrations of ozone occur in mid- afternoon and are concentrated between the surface and 1600 m altitude. 8-33

Figure 8-35. Contour plot of ozone concentrations above the Ouray Wildlife Refuge showing the beginning of the basin-wide cleanout that began the evening of January 27. 8-33

Figure 8-36. Contour plot of ozone concentrations above the Ouray Wildlife Refuge the day the cleanout was essentially completed on January 29. Ozone concentrations of less than 50 ppb are considered background in this location and season. 8-34

Figure 8-37. Contour plot of ozone concentrations above the Ouray Wildlife Refuge showing the beginning of the next ozone event. This event was also the focus of the NOAA aircraft flights. 8-34

Figure 8-38. Contour plot of ozone concentrations above the Ouray Wildlife Refuge showing the production of ozone now in excess of 100 ppb leading up to the peak on February 6. 8-35

Figure 8-39. Contour plot of ozone concentrations above the Ouray Wildlife Refuge the day prior to the peak of the basin wide ozone event. Note the high ozone concentrations in excess of 125 ppb in the late afternoon. 8-35

Figure 8-40. Contour plot of ozone concentrations above the Ouray Wildlife Refuge at the peak of the basin wide ozone event. Later in the day air from the west began a partial basin cleanout as may be seen in the low

ozone concentration air descending into the basin beginning around 1600 (blue area) down to 1550 m..... 8-36

Figure 8-41. Contour plot of ozone concentrations above the Ouray Wildlife Refuge January 24 - February 7 showing the ozone event ending January 27 and the cleanout that lasted from January 27 to the beginning of the new ozone production event in early February. That event peaked on February 6. 8-37

Figure 8-42. Bonanza power plant with buoyant exhaust plume and water vapor from the cooling ponds. The top of the stack is 1715.8 m and the plume generally rose an additional 2 to 3 stack heights before leveling out in the 1900 to 2200 m range. In the winter of 2013 the inversion top was generally between 1600 and 1750 m. 8-38

Figure 8-43. Bonanza power plant plume rising well above the inversion layer at 1600 m before achieving neutral buoyancy and streaming westward out over the basin at 1900 m. Photograph by Colm Sweeney, airborne scientist, CIRES/NOAA. 8-39

Figure 8-44. Horsepool ozone and temperature profiles on February 2, 2013 showing the elevated ozone layer capped at 1620 m and the power plant plume at 1900 m. The time is the beginning of the balloon ascent that generally lasted from 30 to 45 minutes. 8-40

Figure 8-45. Horsepool ozone and temperature profiles on February 4, 2013 showing a well-mixed ozone layer up to 1850 m and the Bonanza plume at 1940 m. 8-40

Figure 8-46. Horsepool ozone and temperature profiles on February 7, 2013 showing the surface ozone layer capped at 1780 m and the Bonanza power plant plume centered at 1920 m. 8-41

Figure 8-47. Horsepool ozone and temperature profiles on February 14, 2013 showing a strong surface ozone layer capped at 1620 m and the power plant plume centered at 1860 m. This profile complements the data presented in Figure 48-9..... 8-41

Figure 8-48. Time-height cross section of ozone concentrations measured by tethersondes over Horsepool January 25 - February 18, 2013 with the Bonanza power plant plumes highlighted. The plume on the night of February 14 during the cleanout was probably pushed down to 1800 m by the fresh air descending into the basin. 8-42

Figure 8-49. TOPAZ time-height cross section of ozone from near the surface to 600 m AGL (2100 m) for 17:16 – 19:31 MST on 14 February 2013 at Horsepool. The colored line shaped like an inverted “V” represents the ozone measurements from the collocated tethersonde data presented in Figure 8-47. 8-43

Figure 8-50. Uinta Basin surface elevation contours where the purple hue delineates the lowest elevations in the basin bounded on the upper side by the ~1600 m contour. The boundary between the turquoise and green hues is the ~1700 m contour. Rapid, high concentration

photochemical ozone production in the winter of 2013 occurred almost exclusively beneath the level of the 1700 m contour. The most frequent and intense ozone production occurred below 1600 m elevation. Rangely, Colorado is just within this zone as is Duchesne, Utah. The town of Dinosaur, Colorado near the Dinosaur National Monument is just on the edge of the high ozone production zone. Dinosaur could well experience elevated ozone under weak inversions or low altitude westerly winds..... 8-44

Figure 8-51. Oil and gas wells plotted along with elevation contours. A large number of the western basin oil wells are at elevations above the 1600 -1700 m elevation of the temperature inversions and thus may not be significantly contributing precursor chemicals to the ozone production that occurs lower down in the Uinta Basin. This needs to be checked with mobile van measurements..... 8-45

Figure 8-52. Presentation and balloon demonstration at Uintah River High School in Fort Duchesne, Utah..... 8-47

Figure 8-53. Presentation and balloon demonstration at Vernal Middle School. 8-47

Figure 9-1. Natural Gas Wells in the Uinta Basin in 2011 (production, and thus emissions, not necessarily distributed by well count; more production is concentrated in the yellow grid cells than is apparent from the actual number of wells). 9-3

Figure 9-2. 2011 VOC Inventory - All Emissions. 9-4

Figure 9-3. 2011 NO_x Inventory - All Emissions. 9-5

Figure 9-4. Locations of produced water pond facilities in the Uinta Basin. Each pond is shown as a blue square. 9-9

Figure 9-5. Illustration of a typical Uinta Basin produced water facility sampled by our group during winter 2012-13. 9-10

Figure 9-6. USU dynamic flux chamber on a produced water pond. 9-12

Figure 9-7. Emissions from different surface types at produced water facilities. The top of the colored column is the average total emissions from four types of compounds, and the contribution of each type is delineated with a different color. The black line on top of the colored column is the 90% confidence interval for the average total emissions. 9-14

Figure 9-8. Emissions of organic compounds from different surface types as a percentage of total emissions..... 9-14

Figure 9-9. Toluene concentration in unfrozen produced water versus toluene emission rate..... 9-15

Figure 9-10. Change in emission rate of alkanes, aromatics, methanol, and methane throughout the day as temperature warms and ice covering a produced water pond melts..... 9-15

Figure 10-1. Nested domains with horizontal resolution of 36, 12, 4 and 1.3 km, respectively (left). Domain 4 (right). The Uinta Basin is the low terrain centered at about 109.5°W longitude and 40°N latitude. 10-2

Figure 10-2. Observed (black) and simulated (red) temperature vertical profiles at Roosevelt (a, c) and observed (solid) and simulated (dash) temperature vertical profiles at Ouray (blue) and HorsePool (red) (b,d). Observational data for Roosevelt and Ouray/Horsepool sites were collected from rawinsonde measurements conducted by the University of Utah and from ozonesonde measurements conducted by NOAA, respectively..... 10-3

Figure 10-3. Comparison of simulated (right) and NOAA analysis (left) snow depths..... 10-4

Figure 10-4. Temporal evolution of hourly simulated (line) and observed (dot) near-surface wind speed (m/s) averaged over 61 monitoring sites within domain. Observational data were obtained from Western Regional Climate Center. SIM_REF and SIM_FINE stands for reference and fine resolution simulation, respectively. 10-5